

NBI-HE-93-01
KOBETH-93-01
January 1993

Topological Aspects of an Antisymmetric Background Field on Orbifolds

Makoto Sakamoto ¹

*The Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen
Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen X, Denmark*

Abstract

We study string theory on orbifolds in the presence of an antisymmetric constant background field in detail and discuss some of new aspects of the theory. It is pointed out that the term with the antisymmetric background field can be regarded as a topological term like a Chern-Simons term or a Wess-Zumino term. Detailed analysis in the operator formalism shows that orbifold models with topologically nontrivial twists exhibit various anomalous behavior: Zero mode variables obey nontrivial quantization conditions. Coordinate transformations which define orbifolds are modified at quantum level. Wave functions of twisted strings in general acquire nontrivial phases when they move around non-contractible loops on orbifolds. Zero mode eigenvalues are shifted from naively expected values, in favor of modular invariance.

¹On leave from Department of Physics, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan.

1 Introduction

Our understanding of geometry of string compactifications is far from complete even for simple compactifications. For instance, in toroidal compactification there appears a degree of freedom of a winding number. Since a winding number of a closed string can change in string interactions, we need to introduce a canonical “coordinate” Q^I conjugate to the winding number in order to construct the quantum theory. Physical roles of the “coordinate” Q^I are clear but geometrical meanings of Q^I are not obvious. This fact may cause a problem of how to impose a quantization condition on Q^I . We will face this problem in our discussions and see that the consistency with string interactions requires a nontrivial quantization condition on Q^I .

Orbifold compactification [1] is also as simple as toroidal one and is probably the most efficient method to construct a realistic four dimensional string model. On orbifolds there also appears the “coordinate” Q^I . The lack of geometrical meanings of Q^I may cause another problem of how to determine the action of twist operators on Q^I . We will see that our naive intuition fails in some cases.

Our aim of this paper is to discuss some of new aspects of string theory on orbifolds in the presence of an antisymmetric background field. Orbifold models have been discussed by many authors and various phenomenologically “realistic” models have been proposed [2]. Nevertheless, one interesting topological nature of the antisymmetric background field has not been discussed yet.

A D -dimensional torus T^D is defined by identifying a point $\{X^I\}$ to $\{X^I + \pi w^I\}$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$, where Λ is a D -dimensional lattice. An orbifold is obtained by dividing a torus by the action of a discrete symmetry group G of the torus. Any element g of G can be represented (for symmetric orbifolds) by [1, 3]

$$g = (U , v) , \tag{1.1}$$

where U denotes a rotation and v a translation. In sect. 2, we clarify a topological nature of the term with the antisymmetric background field B^{IJ} : Consider a transformation,

$$g : X^I \rightarrow U^{IJ} X^J . \tag{1.2}$$

It is shown in the next section that a Euclidean action $S_E[X]$ in the path integral formalism is not invariant under the transformation (1.2) unless the rotation matrix

U^{IJ} commutes with B^{IJ} but in general

$$S_E[X] - S_E[UX] = i2\pi n \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbf{Z} . \quad (1.3)$$

This means that the transformation (1.2) is not a symmetry of the Euclidean action $S_E[X]$ unless $[U, B] = 0$ but is a symmetry of the theory at the quantum level. Thus the term with the antisymmetric background field can be regarded as a topological term like a Chern-Simons term or a Wess-Zumino term. Most attention of previous works has been paid to the case

$$[U, B] = 0 , \quad (1.4)$$

or simply $B^{IJ} = 0$, i.e. to the case $n = 0$ in eq. (1.3). The generalization to the case

$$[U, B] \neq 0 , \quad (1.5)$$

is not, however, trivial, as expected from the result (1.3). A naive construction of orbifold models with eq.(1.5) would give modular non-invariant partition functions and also destroy the duality of amplitudes [3, 4, 5]. Here, we will call the twist g in eq. (1.2) topologically trivial (nontrivial) if $[U, B] = 0$ ($[U, B] \neq 0$). Our concern of this paper is orbifold models with topologically nontrivial twists g . In ref. [6], some of the properties of such orbifold models have been reported. In this paper, we will give the full details.

In sect. 3, we summarize the basics of strings on tori in the operator formalism and then derive consistency conditions for constructing string theory on orbifolds.

Due to the property (1.3), orbifold models with eq. (1.5) exhibit various anomalous behavior. In sect. 4, we discuss the cocycle property of vertex operators in detail and show that zero modes of strings should obey nontrivial quantization conditions, which are the origin of the anomalous behavior of the theory in an operator formalism point of view. We also show that coordinate transformations become anomalous for topologically nontrivial twists and that a vertex operator $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ transforms as

$$g : \quad V(k_L, k_R; z) \rightarrow \rho V(U^T k_L, U^T k_R; z) . \quad (1.6)$$

A nontrivial phase ρ may appear for a topologically nontrivial twist g and can be regarded as a kind of quantum effects. The phase ρ plays an important role in extracting physical states.

In sect. 5, we construct the complete set and the inner product of zero mode eigenstates. The construction is not straightforward due to nontrivial quantization conditions

of zero modes. We find that zero mode eigenvalues differ from naively expected ones in (topologically nontrivial) twisted sectors. We also find Aharonov-Bohm like effects [7] in our system. The antisymmetric background field plays a similar role of an external gauge field. A wave function of a twisted string is not, in general, periodic with respect to torus shifts but

$$\Psi(x^I + \pi w^I) = e^{-i\pi w^I s_g^I} \Psi(x^I) , \quad (1.7)$$

for $w^I \in \Lambda$ such that $w^I = U^{IJ} w^J$. The constant vector s_g^I depends on the commutator $[U, B]$.

In sect. 6, we compute one loop partition functions and prove modular invariance of them. This justifies our formulation.

In sect. 7, we present an example of an orbifold model with a topologically nontrivial twist, which may give a good illustration of our formulation. Sect. 8 is devoted to discussion.

In appendix A, we give a precise definition of the vertex operator and derive a consistency condition which is required from the duality of amplitudes and which is a key equation of our discussions. In appendix B, we present various useful formulas of the Jacobi theta functions. In appendix C, we derive equations (eqs. (6.41) and (6.42) below) which we will use to prove modular invariance in sect. 6.

2 A Topological Nature of the Antisymmetric Background Field

In this section, we shall clarify a topological nature of the antisymmetric background field from a path integral point of view. Since fermionic degrees of freedom play no important role in our discussions, we will restrict our considerations to bosonic strings.

In the Euclidean path integral formalism, the one-loop vacuum amplitude of the closed bosonic string theory on a torus is given by the functional integral [8],

$$\int \frac{[dg_{\alpha\beta}][dX^I]}{\mathcal{V}} \exp\{-S_E[X, g]\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a Euclidean metric of the two dimensional world sheet with the topology of a torus and $\mathcal{V}$ is a volume of local gauge symmetry groups. The Euclidean action is

given by

$$S_E[X, g] = \int_0^\pi d^2\sigma \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^I \partial_\beta X^I - i B^{IJ} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^I \partial_\beta X^J \right\} , \quad (2.2)$$

where B^{IJ} ($I, J = 1, \dots, D$) is the antisymmetric constant background field and X^I ($I = 1, \dots, D$) is a string coordinate. It should be emphasized that the imaginary number i appears in the second term of the Euclidean action (2.2) due to the antisymmetric property of $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$. Since strings propagate on a torus which is defined by identifying a point $\{X^I\}$ with $\{X^I + \pi w^I\}$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$, where Λ is a D -dimensional lattice, the string coordinate $X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ obeys the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} X^I(\sigma^1 + \pi, \sigma^2) &= X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \pi w^I , \\ X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 + \pi) &= X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \pi w'^I , \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for some $w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda$.

Let us consider a transformation,

$$g: \quad X^I \longrightarrow U^{IJ} X^J \quad \text{with} \quad U^T U = \mathbf{1} , \quad (2.4)$$

where U^{IJ} is an orthogonal matrix. The first term of the action (2.2) is trivially invariant under the transformation (2.4) but the second term is not invariant if U^{IJ} does not commute with B^{IJ} . Since B^{IJ} is a constant antisymmetric field, the second term of the action (2.2) can be written as a total divergence, i.e.

$$B^{IJ} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^I \partial_\beta X^J = \partial_\alpha (B^{IJ} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} X^I \partial_\beta X^J) . \quad (2.5)$$

It turns out that the difference between $S_E[X, g]$ and $S_E[UX, g]$ is given by

$$S_E[X, g] - S_E[UX, g] = i\pi w'^I (B - U^T B U)^{IJ} w^J . \quad (2.6)$$

From this relation, we come to an important conclusion that the transformation (2.4) is a *quantum* symmetry of the theory in a path integral point of view if

$$(B - U^T B U)^{IJ} w^J \in 2\Lambda^* \quad \text{for all} \quad w^I \in \Lambda , \quad (2.7)$$

where Λ^* is the dual lattice of Λ , although the Euclidean action (2.2) itself is not invariant under the transformation (2.4) if $[U, B] \neq 0$. Then, the second term of the action (2.2) can be regarded as a topological term like a Chern-Simons term or a Wess-Zumino term, as announced before. We will show in the next section that the condition (2.7) is nothing but a consistency condition for constructing string theory on orbifolds.

3 Consistency Conditions for Constructing String Theory on Orbifolds

In order to fix the notation, we will first summarize the basics of strings on tori in the operator formalism and then derive consistency conditions for constructing string theory on orbifolds.

In this paper, we shall study string theory on orbifolds in the presence of the antisymmetric constant background field in detail. The antisymmetric background field has been introduced by Narain, Sarmadi and Witten [9] to explain Narain torus compactification [10] in the conventional approach. Narain torus compactification will be described in terms of left- and right-moving coordinates and momenta, while in the conventional approach we will use a winding number and a center of mass coordinate and momentum. The combined left-right momentum of Narain torus compactification forms a Lorentzian even self-dual lattice and this fact can be interpreted physically as tuning on the antisymmetric background field on toroidally compactified string theories. The use of the left- and right-moving variables may be convenient to discuss the mathematical structure of string theory on tori but the geometrical interpretation is less clear. Due to the lack of geometrical meanings of the left- and right- moving variables, we might have trouble quantizing string theory on orbifolds based on Narain torus compactification, in particular, quantizing twisted strings. Hence, we will follow the conventional approach in this paper although we may also use the left- and right-moving variables for convenience.

In the construction of an orbifold model, we will start with a D -dimensional toroidally compactified string theory. The action from which we shall start is

$$S[X] = \int d\tau \int_0^\pi d\sigma \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^I(\tau, \sigma) \partial_\beta X^I(\tau, \sigma) + B^{IJ} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^I(\tau, \sigma) \partial_\beta X^J(\tau, \sigma) \right\} , \quad (3.1)$$

where $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ is a two-dimensional Minkowski metric and $\varepsilon^{01} = -\varepsilon^{10} = 1$. The $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$ ($I = 1, \dots, D$) is a string coordinate on the D -dimensional torus T^D which is defined by identifying a point $\{X^I\}$ with $\{X^I + \pi w^I\}$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$, where Λ is a D -dimensional lattice. Since the second term in eq.(3.1) is a total divergence, it does not affect the equation of motion. The canonical momentum conjugate to $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$, however, becomes

$$P^I(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\partial_\tau X^I(\tau, \sigma) + B^{IJ} \partial_\sigma X^J(\tau, \sigma) \right) . \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, the mode expansion of $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$ is given by

$$X^I(\tau, \sigma) = x^I + (p^I - B^{IJ}w^J)\tau + w^I\sigma + (\text{oscillators}) , \quad (3.3)$$

where p^I is the canonical momentum conjugate to the center of mass coordinate x^I and w^I is the winding number. The string coordinate $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$ obeys the boundary condition

$$X^I(\tau, \sigma + \pi) = X^I(\tau, \sigma) + \pi w^I , \quad (3.4)$$

where $w^I \in \Lambda$.

In order to construct the quantum theory of strings on tori, we need to introduce the canonical “coordinate” Q^I conjugate to w^I because the winding number w^I appears in the spectrum and string interactions can change the winding number. We assume that the following canonical commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^I, p^J] &= i\delta^{IJ} , \\ [Q^I, w^J] &= i\delta^{IJ} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Since x^I is the center of mass coordinate of a string moving on the torus T^D , the wave function $\Psi(x^I)$ must be periodic, i.e. $\Psi(x^I + \pi w^I) = \Psi(x^I)$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$. Hence, the allowed momentum is

$$p^I \in 2\Lambda^* , \quad (3.6)$$

where Λ^* is the dual lattice of Λ .

For later convenience, we introduce the left- and right-moving coordinates,

$$X^I(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_L^I(\tau + \sigma) + X_R^I(\tau - \sigma) \right) , \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_L^I(\tau + \sigma) &= x_L^I + 2p_L^I(\tau + \sigma) + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{Ln}^I e^{-2in(\tau + \sigma)} , \\ X_R^I(\tau - \sigma) &= x_R^I + 2p_R^I(\tau - \sigma) + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{Rn}^I e^{-2in(\tau - \sigma)} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The relations between $x_L^I, x_R^I, p_L^I, p_R^I$ and x^I, Q^I, p^I, w^I are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_L^I &= (1 - B)^{IJ} x^J + Q^I , \\ x_R^I &= (1 + B)^{IJ} x^J - Q^I , \\ p_L^I &= \frac{1}{2}(p^I - B^{IJ}w^J) + \frac{1}{2}w^I , \\ p_R^I &= \frac{1}{2}(p^I - B^{IJ}w^J) - \frac{1}{2}w^I , \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
x^I &= \frac{1}{2}(x_L^I + x_R^I) , \\
Q^I &= \frac{1}{2}(1+B)^{IJ}x_L^J - \frac{1}{2}(1-B)^{IJ}x_R^J , \\
p^I &= (1+B)^{IJ}p_L^J + (1-B)^{IJ}p_R^J , \\
w^I &= p_L^I - p_R^I .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from the definition (3.9) or (3.10) that the left- and right-moving momentum (p_L^I, p_R^I) forms a $(D+D)$ -dimensional Lorentzian even self-dual lattice $\Gamma^{D,D}$ [10, 9],

$$(p_L^I, p_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D} . \tag{3.11}$$

This observation is important to one loop modular invariance.

It is worthwhile noting that the following replacement of the antisymmetric background field does not change the spectrum [11]:

$$B^{IJ} \rightarrow B^{IJ} + \Delta B^{IJ} , \tag{3.12}$$

if $\Delta B^{IJ}w^J \in 2\Lambda^*$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$. This is because the momentum p^I always appears in the combination $p^I - B^{IJ}w^J$ in eq.(3.9). This discrete symmetry is the origin of the symmetry discussed in sect. 2.

An orbifold is obtained by dividing a torus by the action of a suitable discrete group G . In other words, an orbifold is given by the identification of a point $\{X^I\}$ with $\{g \cdot X^I + \pi w^I\}$ for all $g \in G$ and $w^I \in \Lambda$. In general any element g of G can be represented (for symmetric orbifolds) by

$$g = (U, v) , \tag{3.13}$$

where U denotes a rotation and v is a translation. Since the shift vector v is irrelevant in our discussions, we will set $v = 0$ throughout this paper for simplicity. Since a point $\{X^I\}$ is identified with $\{U^{IJ}X^J\}$ up to a torus shift on the orbifold, the action of g on the string coordinate must be well-defined,

$$g : X^I(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow U^{IJ}X^J(\tau, \sigma) . \tag{3.14}$$

In terms of the zero modes, the map (3.14) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
g : x^I &\rightarrow U^{IJ}x^J , \\
p^I &\rightarrow U^{IJ}p^J - [U, B]^{IJ}w^J , \\
w^I &\rightarrow U^{IJ}w^J .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Since the winding number w^I lies on the lattice Λ , g must be an automorphism of the lattice Λ , i.e.

$$g : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \quad (\text{or } \Lambda^* \rightarrow \Lambda^*) . \quad (3.16)$$

Since the center of mass momentum p^I lies on the lattice $2\Lambda^*$, we further need to require the condition,

$$[U, B]^{IJ} w^J \in 2\Lambda^* ,$$

or equivalently,

$$(B - U^T B U)^{IJ} w^J \in 2\Lambda^* \quad \text{for all } w^I \in \Lambda . \quad (3.17)$$

This is just the condition (2.7), as announced before.

In terms of the left- and right-moving momentum, the action of g is given by

$$g : (p_L^I, p_R^I) \rightarrow (U^{IJ} p_L^J, U^{IJ} p_R^J) . \quad (3.18)$$

Thus, in the language of Narain torus compactification the consistency condition for constructing orbifold models is that g must be an automorphism of the lattice $\Gamma^{D,D}$ on which (p_L^I, p_R^I) lies, i.e.

$$g : \Gamma^{D,D} \rightarrow \Gamma^{D,D} . \quad (3.19)$$

As we have seen above, this condition is equivalent to the condition (3.16) together with the condition (3.17).

Before closing this section, it may be instructive to present some examples of B^{IJ} and U^{IJ} , which satisfy the conditions (3.16) and (3.17). Let us consider the following $(D + D)$ -dimensional Lorentzian even self-dual lattice, which has been introduced by Englert and Neveu [12],

$$\Gamma^{D,D} = \{ (p_L^I, p_R^I) \mid p_L^I, p_R^I \in \Lambda_W(\mathcal{G}), p_L^I - p_R^I \in \Lambda_R(\mathcal{G}) \} , \quad (3.20)$$

where $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{G})$ ($\Lambda_W(\mathcal{G})$) is the root (weight) lattice of a simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ with rank D and the squared length of the root vectors is normalized to two. In this normalization, the weight lattice $\Lambda_W(\mathcal{G})$ is just the dual lattice of $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{G})$. The lattice (3.20) can be obtained through the relation (3.9) (or (3.10)) by choosing Λ and B^{IJ} as follows:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_R(\mathcal{G}) , \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\alpha_i^I B^{IJ} \alpha_j^J = \alpha_i^I \alpha_j^I \pmod{2} , \quad (3.22)$$

where α_i is a simple root of $\mathcal{G}$ which is normalized to $(\alpha_i)^2 = 2$. If we choose the rotation matrix U to be an automorphism of the root lattice $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{G})$, i.e.

$$U^{IJ}w^J \in \Lambda_R(\mathcal{G}) \quad \text{for all } w^I \in \Lambda_R(\mathcal{G}), \quad (3.23)$$

then the rotation U is also an automorphism of the lattice (3.20) and satisfies the condition (3.17), i.e.

$$(B - U^T B U)^{IJ}w^J \in 2\Lambda_W(\mathcal{G}) \quad \text{for all } w^I \in \Lambda_R(\mathcal{G}). \quad (3.24)$$

The lattice (3.20) is relevant to physics because gauge symmetries may appear in the spectrum of closed string theory through the Frenkel-Kač-Segal mechanism [13], where the lattice (3.20) plays a crucial role. Hence, our work would be of importance for the construction of realistic orbifold models.

4 Quantization of Strings on Orbifolds

In this section, we shall discuss the cocycle property of vertex operators and show that zero modes of strings should obey nontrivial quantization conditions. We will also discuss the action of twist operators on the string coordinate and find that the left- and right-moving coordinates transform anomalously for topologically nontrivial twists.

4.1 The Cocycle Property of Vertex Operators and Quantization of Zero Modes

Since on the orbifold a point $\{X^I\}$ is identified with $\{g \cdot X^I + \pi w^I\}$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$ and $g \in G$, the string coordinate in general obeys the following boundary condition:

$$X^I(\tau, \sigma + \pi) = U^{IJ}X^J(\tau, \sigma) + \pi w^I. \quad (4.1)$$

Strings which obey the boundary condition with $U^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ}$ are called untwisted strings. Strings with $U^{IJ} \neq \delta^{IJ}$ are called twisted strings. In the following we will treat untwisted and twisted strings in the same way. The quantization of untwisted strings will be given by simply putting $U^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ}$.

It is convenient to take the following coordinate system:

$$\begin{aligned} U^{IJ} &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{U}^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}^{IJ}, \\ X^I &= (X^a, X^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $I, J = 1, \dots, D$, $a, b = 1, \dots, d$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, D - d$. The matrix $\tilde{U}^{\alpha\beta}$ is assumed to have no eigenvalues of one. Then the mode expansion of $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$ will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^a(\tau, \sigma) &= x^a + (p^a - B^{aJ} w^J) \tau + w^a \sigma + X_{osc}^a(\tau, \sigma) , \\ X^\alpha(\tau, \sigma) &= x^\alpha + X_{osc}^\alpha(\tau, \sigma) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where x^α satisfies the fixed point equation,

$$x^\alpha = \tilde{U}^{\alpha\beta} x^\beta + \pi w^\alpha . \quad (4.4)$$

The $X_{osc}^I(\tau, \sigma)$ denotes the oscillator part of the string coordinate and satisfies

$$X_{osc}^I(\tau, \sigma + \pi) = U^{IJ} X_{osc}^J(\tau, \sigma) . \quad (4.5)$$

Let N be the smallest positive integer such that $g^N = 1$ and hence $U^N = \mathbf{1}$. The orthogonal matrix U can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix M :

$$M^\dagger U M = U_{diag} , \quad \text{with } M^\dagger M = \mathbf{1} , \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$U_{diag}^{IJ} = \omega^{t_I} \delta^{IJ} , \quad (\omega = e^{i2\pi/N}) . \quad (4.7)$$

Here, we will choose t_I such that $0 \leq t_I \leq N - 1$. Since U is a real matrix, we have

$$M^T U M^* = U_{diag}^* . \quad (4.8)$$

This implies that the set of $\{\omega^{t_I}, I = 1, \dots, D\}$ is equivalent to the set of $\{\omega^{-t_I}, I = 1, \dots, D\}$. In terms of the eigenvalues of U , $X_{osc}^I(\tau, \sigma)$ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} X_{osc}^I(\tau, \sigma) &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} - \frac{t_I}{N} > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} \{ M^{IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^J e^{-2in_J(\tau+\sigma)} - M^{*IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^{J\dagger} e^{2in_J(\tau+\sigma)} \} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{t_I}{N} > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} \{ M^{IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^J e^{-2in_J(\tau-\sigma)} - M^{*IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^{J\dagger} e^{2in_J(\tau-\sigma)} \} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The quantization conditions of the oscillators are given as usual,

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma_{m_I}^I, \gamma_{n_J}^{J\dagger}] &= m_I \delta^{IJ} \delta_{m_I, n_J} , \quad \text{for } m_I \in \mathbf{Z} - \frac{t_I}{N} > 0 \text{ and } n_J \in \mathbf{Z} - \frac{t_J}{N} > 0 , \\ [\bar{\gamma}_{m_I}^I, \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^{J\dagger}] &= m_I \delta^{IJ} \delta_{m_I, n_J} , \quad \text{for } m_I \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{t_I}{N} > 0 \text{ and } n_J \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{t_J}{N} > 0 , \\ \text{otherwise} &\quad \text{zeros} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

In the following, we will discuss the cocycle property of vertex operators in detail and show that the zero modes of strings obey nontrivial quantization conditions.

Let us consider a vertex operator $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ which describes the emission of an untwisted state with the momentum $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$. The vertex operator will be of the form (see appendix A for the precise definition),

$$V(k_L, k_R; z) = f_{k_L, k_R}(z) : \exp\{ik_L^I X_L^I(z) + ik_R^I X_R^I(\bar{z})\} : , \quad (4.11)$$

where $X_L^I(z)$ and $X_R^I(\bar{z})$ are the left- and right-moving string coordinates defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_L^I(z) &= x_L^I - ip_L^I \ln z + i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} - \frac{t_J}{N} > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} \{M^{IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^J z^{-n_J} - M^{*IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^{J\dagger} z^{n_J}\} , \\ X_R^I(\bar{z}) &= x_R^I - ip_R^I \ln \bar{z} + i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{t_J}{N} > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} \{M^{IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^J \bar{z}^{-n_J} - M^{*IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^{J\dagger} \bar{z}^{n_J}\} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

with $p_L^\alpha = p_R^\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, D-d$). The relations between $x_L^I, x_R^I, p_L^a, p_R^a$ and x^I, Q^I, p^a, w^I are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_L^I &= (1-B)^{IJ} x^J + Q^I , \\ x_R^I &= (1+B)^{IJ} x^J - Q^I , \\ p_L^a &= \frac{1}{2}(p^a - B^{aJ} w^J) + \frac{1}{2} w^a , \\ p_R^a &= \frac{1}{2}(p^a - B^{aJ} w^J) - \frac{1}{2} w^a . \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

The $f_{k_L, k_R}(z)$ is a normalization factor and in general depends on k_L^I, k_R^I and z in twisted sectors. The product of two vertex operators

$$V(k'_L, k'_R; z') V(k_L, k_R; z) , \quad (4.14)$$

is well-defined if $|z'| > |z|$. The different ordering of the two vertex operators corresponds to the different “time”-ordering. To obtain scattering amplitudes, we must sum over all possible “time”-orderings for the emission of states. We must then establish that each contribution is independent of the order of the vertex operators to enlarge the region of integrations over z variables [14]. Thus the product (4.14), with respect to z and z' , has to be analytically continued to the region $|z| > |z'|$ and to be identical to

$$V(k_L, k_R; z) V(k'_L, k'_R; z') , \quad (4.15)$$

for $|z| > |z'|$. In terms of the zero modes, the above statement can be translated into the following condition:

$$V_0(k'_L, k'_R) V_0(k_L, k_R) = \eta V_0(k_L, k_R) V_0(k'_L, k'_R) , \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$V_0(k_L, k_R) = \exp\{ik_L^I \hat{x}_L^I + ik_R^I \hat{x}_R^I\} . \quad (4.17)$$

The wedge $\wedge$ may be attached to operators to distinguish q -numbers from c -numbers. The phase η is given by [15]

$$\eta = \exp\left\{i\pi k_L^I \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m)\right)^{IJ} k_L^J - i\pi k_R^I \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m)\right)^{IJ} k_R^J\right\} . \quad (4.18)$$

Since the relation (4.16) is a key equation in our discussions, we will explicitly derive eq. (4.16) with eq. (4.18) in appendix A. In the untwisted sector ($U^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ}$), η reduces to the well-known result [13, 16],

$$\eta = (-1)^{k'_L \cdot k_L - k'_R \cdot k_R} . \quad (4.19)$$

To satisfy the relation (4.16), one might attach a cocycle operator to the vertex operator. However, the introduction of a cocycle operator might cause troubles with the construction of the Hilbert space since we need an explicit realization of the cocycle operator to construct the Hilbert space. Here, instead of introducing cocycle operators, we will follow the approach given by ref. [17, 18].

As mentioned in sect. 1, we have no definite prescription how to quantize the “coordinate” Q^I due to the lack of geometrical meanings of Q^I . Here, we will determine the quantization of Q^I by requiring the relation (4.16). It turns out that the following commutation relations satisfy the relation (4.16) with the correct phase (4.18):²

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_L^J] &= i\pi \left(B - \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m) \right)^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{x}_R^I, \hat{x}_R^J] &= i\pi \left(B + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m) \right)^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_R^J] &= i\pi (1 - B)^{IJ} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

² One might assume, instead of eqs. (4.20), the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_L^J] &= -i\pi \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m) \right)^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{x}_R^I, \hat{x}_R^J] &= i\pi \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (U^{-m} - U^m) \right)^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_R^J] &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

These are not, however, allowed because the first two commutation relations must be antisymmetric with respect to the superscripts I and J .

To see this, we will use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} (1+B)^{IJ}k_L^J + (1-B)^{IJ}k_R^J &\in 2\Lambda^* , \\ k_L^I - k_R^I &\in \Lambda . \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

To discuss physical or geometrical meanings of the relations (4.20), let us rewrite eqs. (4.20), in terms of x^I and Q^I , as

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}^I, \hat{x}^J] &= 0 , \\ [\hat{x}^I, \hat{Q}^J] &= -i\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N}(U^{-m} - U^m)\right)^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{Q}^I, \hat{Q}^J] &= i\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N}(U^{-m} - U^m), B\right]^{IJ} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

For completeness, we list other nonzero commutation relations of the zero modes,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}^a, \hat{p}^b] &= i\delta^{ab} , \\ [\hat{Q}^I, \hat{w}^J] &= i\delta^{IJ} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

The relations (4.22) and (4.23) agree with the results of ref. [18] for $U^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ}$ and those of ref. [17] for $[U, B] = 0$ and $\det(1-U) \neq 0$. In the untwisted sector ($U^{IJ} = \delta^{IJ}$), the relations (4.22) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}^I, \hat{x}^J] &= 0 , \\ [\hat{x}^I, \hat{Q}^J] &= -i\frac{\pi}{2}\delta^{IJ} , \\ [\hat{Q}^I, \hat{Q}^J] &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

The second relation of eqs. (4.24) seems strange but it has a simple geometrical interpretation [18]: Let $|x^I, w^I = 0\rangle$ be a string state whose center of mass coordinate and winding number are given by x^I and $w^I = 0$, respectively. The operator $\exp\{iw \cdot \hat{Q}\}$ is a shift operator of the winding number by w^I . It follows from the second equation of (4.24) that

$$[\hat{x}^I, \exp\{iw \cdot \hat{Q}\}] = \frac{\pi}{2}w^I \exp\{iw \cdot \hat{Q}\} , \quad (4.25)$$

which implies that the state $\exp\{iw \cdot \hat{Q}\}|x^I, w^I = 0\rangle$ has the eigenvalues of $x^I + (\pi/2)w^I$ and w^I for the center of mass coordinate and the winding number, respectively, i.e.

$$\exp\{iw \cdot \hat{Q}\}|x^I, w^I = 0\rangle \propto |x^I + \frac{\pi}{2}w^I, w^I\rangle . \quad (4.26)$$

At first sight it seems strange that the center of mass coordinate of a string changes by $(\pi/2)w^I$ when the winding number changes by w^I . This is, however, acceptable geometrically. We first note that the “center of mass coordinate” of a string *on the torus* is *not* a well-defined notion in the presence of nonzero winding numbers because the string winds around the torus. However, it will still be a useful notion *on the covering space of the torus* and it may be natural to say that the “center of mass coordinate” of the string is located at $x^I + (\pi/2)w^I$ rather than x^I in the presence of the winding number if we define the “center of mass coordinate” by

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{d\sigma}{\pi} X^I(\tau=0, \sigma) = x^I + \frac{\pi}{2} w^I . \quad (4.27)$$

In the twisted sector ($U^{IJ} \neq \delta^{IJ}$), the second relation of eqs. (4.22) also has a simple interpretation. In the coordinate system (4.2), the second relation of eqs. (4.22) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}^a, \hat{Q}^b] &= -i\frac{\pi}{2}\delta^{ab} , \\ [\hat{x}^\alpha, \hat{Q}^\beta] &= -i\pi\left(\frac{1}{1-\tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} , \\ \text{otherwise} &\quad \text{zeros} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where we have used the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{1-\tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{m}{N} (\tilde{U}^{-m})^{\alpha\beta} , \\ \sum_{m=1}^N (\tilde{U}^m)^{\alpha\beta} &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

The first relation of eqs. (4.28) can be understood in the same way as the untwisted sector. The second relation of eqs. (4.28) is consistent with the constraint (4.4). Thus, the commutation relations of the zero modes have simple geometrical meanings except for the last relation of eqs. (4.22). We could not find any simple explanation for the last commutation relation of eqs. (4.22) due to the lack of geometrical meanings of Q^I . We will, however, show in sect. 6 that all the commutation relations of the zero modes derived above are consistent with modular invariance of partition functions.

4.2 Anomalous Transformations

Let h be an element of G associated with an orthogonal matrix V . To calculate one loop partition functions in the g -sector, we need only the elements of G which commute

with g . Thus we will restrict our considerations to the elements h of G such that

$$hg = gh . \quad (4.30)$$

This implies that the orthogonal matrix V commutes with U , i.e.

$$VU = UV . \quad (4.31)$$

In the coordinate system (4.2), the matrix V^{IJ} will be of the form,

$$V^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}^{IJ} . \quad (4.32)$$

The action of h on $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$ in the g -sector is given by

$$h : \quad X^I(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow V^{IJ} X^J(\tau, \sigma) . \quad (4.33)$$

Note that the above transformation is consistent with the boundary condition (4.1) only if eq. (4.31) is satisfied. In terms of the zero modes, the transformation (4.33) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad x^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} x^J , \\ p^a &\rightarrow \tilde{V}^{ab} p^b - [V, B]^{aJ} w^J , \\ w^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} w^J . \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

It should be emphasized that eq. (4.33) does not completely specify the action of h on Q^I since Q^I does not appear in the mode expansion of $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$. Our purpose in this subsection is to determine the action of h on Q^I .

The relevant operators in string theory are the momentum operators, $P_L^I(z) \equiv i\partial_z X_L^I(z)$ and $P_R^I(\bar{z}) \equiv i\partial_{\bar{z}} X_R^I(\bar{z})$, and the vertex operator $V(k_L, k_R; z)$. Other operators can be obtained from the operator products of these operators. For example the energy-momentum tensors of the left- and right-movers are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{1}{2} \left(P_L^I(w) P_L^I(z) - \frac{D}{(w - z)^2} \right) , \\ \bar{T}(\bar{z}) &= \lim_{\bar{w} \rightarrow \bar{z}} \frac{1}{2} \left(P_R^I(\bar{w}) P_R^I(\bar{z}) - \frac{D}{(\bar{w} - \bar{z})^2} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Under the action of h , the momentum operators transform as

$$h : \quad (P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})) \rightarrow (V^{IJ} P_L^J(z), V^{IJ} P_R^J(\bar{z})) , \quad (4.36)$$

which leaves the energy-momentum tensors invariant, as it should be. One might expect that under the action of h the left- and right-moving string coordinates, $X_L^I(z)$ and $X_R^I(\bar{z})$, would transform in the same way as $P_L^I(z)$ and $P_R^I(\bar{z})$. However, it is not the case if V^{IJ} does not commute with B^{IJ} . Suppose that under the action of h , $\hat{x}_L^I$ and $\hat{x}_R^I$ would transform as

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \hat{x}_L^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{x}_L^J , \\ \hat{x}_R^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{x}_R^J . \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

It is easy to see that the transformations (4.37) is inconsistent with the commutation relations (4.20) unless V^{IJ} commutes with B^{IJ} . In ref. [3], it has been shown that in the untwisted sector the correct action of h on $\hat{x}_L^I$ and $\hat{x}_R^I$ is given by ³

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \hat{x}_L^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{x}_L^J + \pi V^{IJ} \left(\frac{1}{2} (B - V^T B V) - C_h \right)^{JK} \hat{w}^K , \\ \hat{x}_R^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{x}_R^J - \pi V^{IJ} \left(\frac{1}{2} (B - V^T B V) - C_h \right)^{JK} \hat{w}^K , \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

where C_h^{IJ} is a symmetric matrix defined through the relation

$$w^I C_h^{IJ} w'^J = \frac{1}{2} w^I (B - V^T B V)^{IJ} w'^J \mod 2 , \quad (4.39)$$

for all $w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda$. The existence of a symmetric matrix C_h^{IJ} is guaranteed by the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2} w^I (B - V^T B V)^{IJ} w'^J \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{for all } w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda . \quad (4.40)$$

Note that the anomalous terms in eqs. (4.38) may disappear if V^{IJ} commutes with B^{IJ} . In ref. [3], the transformation (4.38) in the untwisted sector has been derived from the requirement that the action of h on vertex operators has to be consistent with the cocycle property of vertex operators. The transformation (4.38) is also correct in the g -twisted sector: It is easy to see that the transformations (4.38) and (4.34) are consistent with the commutation relations (4.22) and (4.23) and also with the relation (4.16).

It seems that the transformation (4.38) loses its geometrical meaning unless V^{IJ} commutes with B^{IJ} . However, the transformation (4.38) is still geometrically well-defined because the anomalous terms in eq. (4.38) can be regarded as a torus shift, i.e.

$$h : \quad (\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_R^I) \rightarrow (V^{IJ} \hat{x}_L^J, V^{IJ} \hat{x}_R^J) + \text{torus shift} . \quad (4.41)$$

³Set $U_L = U_R \equiv V$ and assume the form (6.13) in the first reference of ref. [3].

To see this, let us consider the action of h on $k_L \cdot \hat{x}_L + k_R \cdot \hat{x}_R$ for $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$.

$$h : k_L \cdot \hat{x}_L + k_R \cdot \hat{x}_R \rightarrow k_L \cdot V \hat{x}_L + k_R \cdot V \hat{x}_R + \pi(k_L - k_R) \cdot V \left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C_h \right) \hat{w} . \quad (4.42)$$

The last term of the right hand side may be regarded as a trivial shift because

$$(k_L - k_R) \cdot V \left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C_h \right) \hat{w} = 0 \pmod{2} , \quad (4.43)$$

for all $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$ and $\hat{w}^I \in \Lambda$. (Recall that $k_L^I - k_R^I \in \Lambda$.) It may be instructive to repeat the above discussion in terms of $\hat{x}^I$ and $\hat{Q}^I$. The transformation (4.38) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \hat{x}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{x}^J , \\ \hat{Q}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ} \hat{Q}^J - [V, B]^{IJ} \hat{x}^J + \pi V^{IJ} \left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C_h \right)^{JK} \hat{w}^K . \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Note that the anomalous term appears only in the transformation of Q^I . The anomalous term may be regarded as a trivial shift because

$$V^{IJ} \left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C_h \right)^{JK} \hat{w}^K \in 2\Lambda^* , \quad (4.45)$$

and because Q^I may be regarded as a coordinate on a torus with the identification of $Q^I \sim Q^I + \pi p^I$ for all $p^I \in 2\Lambda^*$. (Recall that Q^I is a conjugate variable to w^I and that $w^I \in \Lambda$.)

What roles do the anomalous terms in eq. (4.38) or (4.44) play? To answer this question, let us consider the action of h on the vertex operator. The result is

$$h : \quad V(k_L, k_R; z) \rightarrow \rho V(V^T k_L, V^T k_R; z) , \quad (4.46)$$

where

$$\rho = \exp \left\{ -i \frac{\pi}{2} (k_L - k_R) \cdot V C_h V^T (k_L - k_R) \right\} , \quad (4.47)$$

with $\rho^2 = 1$. It should be noted that we cannot use the relation (4.43) directly in the exponent to derive the relation (4.46) because $\hat{w}^I$ is not a c -number but a q -number. We can use the relation (4.43) only after separating the terms depending on $\hat{w}^I$ from $V(V^T k_L, V^T k_R; z)$ by use of the Hausdorff formula. The phase factor ρ appearing in the transformation (4.46) plays an important role in extracting physical states. To see this, let us consider a state $|k_L, k_R\rangle \equiv V(k_L, k_R; z=0)|A\rangle$, where $|A\rangle$ is an arbitrary h -invariant state. Suppose that the momentum (k_L^I, k_R^I) is h -invariant, i.e. $(k_L^I, k_R^I) = (V^{IJ} k_L^J, V^{IJ} k_R^J)$. Then we find

$$h : \quad |k_L, k_R\rangle \rightarrow \rho |k_L, k_R\rangle . \quad (4.48)$$

On the orbifold, any physical states must be h -invariant for all $h \in G$. Therefore, the state $|k_L, k_R\rangle$ must be removed from the physical Hilbert space unless $\rho = 1$. It may be worthwhile noting that in an algebraic point of view the phase ρ in eq. (4.46) has a connection with automorphisms of algebras rather than automorphisms of lattices.

Before closing this subsection, we should make a comment on the transformations (4.38) or (4.44). We might add some extra terms, which are consistent with eqs. (4.20), to the transformations (4.38) or (4.44). The extra terms would, however, make ill-defined the action of h on the vertex operator and also would destroy modular invariance of partition functions.

5 The Hilbert Space of the Zero Modes

5.1 Aharonov-Bohm like Effects

We might observe some similarities between strings on orbifolds in the presence of the antisymmetric background field and electrons in the presence of an infinitely long solenoid. Both underlying spaces are not simply-connected and possess non-contractible loops. The antisymmetric background field B^{IJ} may play a similar role of an external gauge field. If an electron moves around the solenoid, a wave function of the electron in general acquires a phase. It may be natural to ask whether Aharonov-Bohm like effects occur in our system. We can show that a wave function $\Psi(x^I)$ of a twisted string in the g -twisted sector is not, in general, periodic with respect to a torus shift but

$$\Psi(x^I + \pi w^I) = \exp\left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2}w^I C_g^{IJ} w^J\right\} \Psi(x^I) , \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$w^I \in \Lambda_U = \{ w^I \in \Lambda \mid w^I = U^{IJ} w^J \} . \quad (5.2)$$

The symmetric matrix C_g^{IJ} is defined by

$$w^I C_g^{IJ} w'^J = \frac{1}{2} w^I (B - U^T B U)^{IJ} w'^J \mod 2 , \quad (5.3)$$

for all $w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda$. The phase in eq. (5.1) is equal to ± 1 in general and will be equal to 1 if $[U, B] = 0$. What are physical implications of the relation (5.1)? To see this, we first note that the left hand side of eq. (5.1) can be expressed as

$$\Psi(x^I + \pi w^I) = \exp\{-i\pi w^I \hat{p}_{//}^I\} \Psi(x^I) , \quad (5.4)$$

where $\hat{p}_{//}^I$ is the canonical momentum restricted to the U -invariant subspace, i.e. $\hat{p}_{//}^I = (\hat{p}^a, \hat{p}^\alpha = 0)$. Let us introduce a vector s_g^I with $s_g^I = U^{IJ}s_g^J$ through the relation

$$w^I s_g^I = \frac{1}{2} w^I C_g^{IJ} w^J \mod 2, \quad (5.5)$$

for all $w^I \in \Lambda_U$. The existence of such a vector s_g^I is guaranteed by the following observation: Define

$$f(w) \equiv \frac{1}{2} w^I C_g^{IJ} w^J. \quad (5.6)$$

Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(w + w') &= f(w) + f(w') + w^I C_g^{IJ} w'^J \\ &= f(w) + f(w') \mod 2 \quad \text{for all } w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda_U, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where we have used eqs. (5.2) and (5.3). Comparing eq. (5.1) with eq. (5.4), we conclude that

$$\hat{p}_{//}^I \in 2\Lambda_U^* + s_g^I, \quad (5.8)$$

where Λ_U^* is the dual lattice of Λ_U . Thus allowed eigenvalues of $\hat{p}_{//}^I$ are different from naively expected values [19] by s_g^I . This result exactly agrees with the one expected from the argument of modular invariance in ref. [3]. In the next subsection we shall discuss eigenvalues of the zero modes of the twisted strings in detail.

Before deriving eq. (5.1) or (5.8), it may be instructive to recall quantum mechanics on a circle with a radius L , where a point x is identified with $x + 2\pi nL$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. It is important to understand that the coordinate operator $\hat{x}$ itself is not well-defined on the circle while the canonical momentum operator $\hat{p}$ is well-defined. However, the following operator:

$$\exp\{ik\hat{x}\} \quad \text{with } k = \frac{m}{L} \quad (m \in \mathbf{Z}), \quad (5.9)$$

is well-defined on the circle because it is consistent with the torus identification. Physically the operator (5.9) plays a role of a momentum shift by $k = m/L$. It turns out that an operator $\exp\{i2\pi L\hat{p}\}$ commutes with “all” operators, i.e. $\hat{p}$ and $\exp\{ik\hat{x}\}$, and hence it must be a c -number. Indeed we have

$$\exp\{i2\pi L\hat{p}\} = 1. \quad (5.10)$$

This leads to the well-known momentum quantization,

$$\hat{p} = \frac{m}{L} \quad \text{with } m \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (5.11)$$

In string theory on the orbifold, $\hat{p}$ and $\exp\{ik\hat{x}\}$ will be replaced by the momentum operators $P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})$ and the vertex operator $V(k_L, k_R; z)$, respectively, and an analog of the identity (5.10) in the g -sector is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k_L, k_R} &\equiv \xi_{k_L, k_R} \exp\{-ik_L^I U^{IJ} \hat{x}_L^J - ik_R^I U^{IJ} \hat{x}_R^J\} \exp\{ik_L^I \hat{x}_L^I + ik_R^I \hat{x}_R^I\} \\ &\quad \times \exp\{i2\pi(k_L^a \hat{p}_L^a - k_R^a \hat{p}_R^a)\} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$ and

$$\xi_{k_L, k_R} = \exp\left\{i\pi((k_L^a)^2 - (k_R^a)^2) - i\frac{\pi}{2}(k_L - k_R)^I (UC_g U^T)^{IJ} (k_L - k_R)^J\right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

To prove the identity (5.12), it is important to understand that the relevant operators in string theory on the orbifold are $P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})$ and $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ but not $X_L^I(z), X_R^I(\bar{z})$ because $P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})$ and $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ are well-defined on the orbifold but $X_L^I(z), X_R^I(\bar{z})$ are not. It is not difficult to show that I_{k_L, k_R} defined in eq. (5.12) commutes with “all” operators, i.e. $P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})$ and $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ for all $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$, by use of the commutation relations (4.20) (or (4.22)) and (4.23). Thus I_{k_L, k_R} must be a c -number. We can further show that I_{k_L, k_R} satisfies the following relation:

$$I_{k_L, k_R} I_{k'_L, k'_R} = I_{k_L + k'_L, k_R + k'_R}. \quad (5.14)$$

Hence I_{k_L, k_R} would be of the form

$$I_{k_L, k_R} = \exp\{ik_L \cdot a_L - ik_R \cdot a_R\}, \quad (5.15)$$

for some constant vectors a_L^I and a_R^I . It seems that there is no way to determine the constant vectors a_L^I and a_R^I . In the following, we will assume that

$$a_L^I = a_R^I = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

We will, however, see that the above choice is consistent with other requirements of string theory, in particular, with modular invariance of partition functions.

A physical meaning of the identity (5.12) is obvious for $[U, B] = 0$. The identity (5.12) then reduces to

$$\exp\{i\pi k^I \hat{w}^I\} \exp\{i\pi w^I U^{IJ} \hat{p}^J\} = 1, \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
w^I &= k_L^I - k_R^I \in \Lambda , \\
k^I &= (1+B)^{IJ}k_L^J + (1-B)^{IJ}k_R^J \in 2\Lambda^* , \\
\hat{p}^I &= (\hat{p}^a, -\frac{1}{\pi}(1-\tilde{U}^T)^{\alpha\beta}\hat{Q}^\beta) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

The $\hat{p}^I$ is the canonical momentum conjugate to $\hat{x}^I$ in the g -sector [4]. Eq. (5.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{w}^I &\in \Lambda , \\
\hat{p}^I &\in 2\Lambda^* .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

These results are consistent with the identification $x^I \sim x^I + \pi w^I$ for all $w^I \in \Lambda$. For $[U, B] \neq 0$, the first relation of eqs. (5.19) still holds while the second one does not. In fact, putting $k^I = 0$ and $w^I \in \Lambda_U$ in the identity (5.12), we have

$$\exp\left\{i\pi w^I \hat{p}_{//}^I - i\frac{\pi}{2} w^I C_g^{IJ} w^J\right\} = 1 . \tag{5.20}$$

This relation is equivalent to eq. (5.1) or (5.8).

We should make a comment on the assumption (5.16). Using the identity (5.12), we can show

$$gV(k_L, k_R; z)g^\dagger = V(k_L, k_R; e^{2\pi i} z) . \tag{5.21}$$

This is a desired relation because a g -invariant operator $\sum_{m=1}^N g^m V(k_L, k_R; z) g^{-m}$ should be single-valued with respect to z although $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ itself may not be single-valued in general. This fact supports the assumption (5.16).

5.2 The Complete Set and the Inner Product of the Zero Mode Eigenstates

In this subsection, we shall explicitly construct the complete set and the inner product of the zero mode eigenstates. The construction is not straightforward because the zero modes obey the nontrivial commutation relations (4.22) and (4.23). We will see that the identity (5.12) derived in the previous subsection plays a crucial role in the construction of the complete set and the inner product of the zero mode eigenstates.

In the g -sector, the zero mode operators consist of $\hat{x}^I, \hat{Q}^I, \hat{p}^a$ and $\hat{w}^I$ (or $\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{x}_R^I, \hat{p}_L^a$ and $\hat{p}_R^a$) with the constraint (4.4). These operators obey the commutation relations

(4.22) and (4.23). To construct the Hilbert space of the zero modes, we need to find a maximal set of the zero mode operators which commute with each other. We will choose the following maximal set:

$$\{ \hat{p}^a \text{ and } \hat{w}^I ; a = 1, \dots, d, I = 1, \dots, D \} . \quad (5.22)$$

Let $|k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $\hat{p}^a$ and $\hat{w}^I$. Since the relevant operators are $P_L^I(z), P_R^I(\bar{z})$ and $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ with $(k_L, k_R) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$ and only the vertex operator can change the eigenvalues of $\hat{p}^a$ and $\hat{w}^I$, other zero mode eigenstates may be constructed by multiplying $|k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle$ by (the zero mode part of) the vertex operator, i.e.

$$\exp\{ik_L^I \hat{x}_L^I + ik_R^I \hat{x}_R^I\} |k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle \quad \text{for } (k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D} , \quad (5.23)$$

or equivalently,

$$\exp\{ik^I \hat{x}^I + iw^I \hat{Q}^I\} |k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle \quad \text{for } k^I \in 2\Lambda^* \text{ and } w^I \in \Lambda . \quad (5.24)$$

Since the state (5.24) is an eigenstate of $\hat{p}^a$ and $\hat{w}^I$ with the eigenvalues of $k^a + k_0^a$ and $w^I + w_0^I$, respectively, we might conclude that

$$(\hat{p}^a, \hat{w}^I) \in (2\Lambda^*|_{//} + k_0^a, \Lambda + w_0^I) , \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$2\Lambda^*|_{//} = \{ k^a \mid k^I = (k^a, k^\alpha) \in 2\Lambda^* \} . \quad (5.26)$$

We define the eigenstate $|k^a + k_0^a, w^I + w_0^I\rangle$ by

$$\begin{aligned} |k^a + k_0^a, w^I + w_0^I\rangle &\equiv \exp\{ik^a \hat{x}^a + iw^I \hat{Q}^I\} |k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle \\ &= \zeta_{k,w} \exp\{ik^I \hat{x}^I + iw^I \hat{Q}^I\} |k_0^a, w_0^I\rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where $k^I \in 2\Lambda^*, w^I \in \Lambda$ and

$$\zeta_{k,w} = \exp\left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2}k^\alpha\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta}(w^\beta + 2w_0^\beta)\right\} . \quad (5.28)$$

So far, k_0^a and w_0^I are arbitrary. To determine the allowed values of k_0^a and w_0^I , let us consider the identity (5.12). For $w^I = k_L^I - k_R^I \in \Lambda_U$, the identity (5.12) gives constraints on the eigenvalues of $\hat{p}^a$ and $\hat{w}^I$. It is easy to show that for $w^I \in \Lambda_U$ the identity (5.12) reduces to

$$\exp\left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2}w^I C_g^{IJ} w^J + i\pi w^a \hat{p}^a + i\pi k^I \hat{w}^I\right\} = 1 , \quad (5.29)$$

for all $w^I \in \Lambda_U$ and $k^I \in 2\Lambda^*$. This means that the eigenvalues of $\hat{p}^a$ ($\hat{w}^I$) must belong to $2\Lambda_U^* + s_g^a$ (Λ), where we have used the relation (5.5). Taking account of the previous result (5.25) and using the fact that $\Lambda_U^* = \Lambda^*|_{//}$, we conclude that without loss of generality we can set k_0^a and w_0^I to be

$$(k_0^a, w_0^I) = (s_g^a, 0) . \quad (5.30)$$

It is important to realize that all states $|k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle$ with $k^a \in 2\Lambda^*|_{//}$ and $w^I \in \Lambda$ are not independent. To see this, let us consider the identity (5.12) again. Multiplying $|k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle$ by $I_{k'_L, k'_R}$, we find the following relation:

$$|k^a + s_g^a + [U, B]^{aJ} w'^J, w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J\rangle = \rho(k, w; w') |k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle , \quad (5.31)$$

where $w'^I \equiv -(U^T)^{IJ}(k'_L - k'_R) \in \Lambda$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k, w; w') &= \exp\left\{i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I C_g^{IJ} w'^J + i\pi w'^a (k^a + s_g^a) + i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I (U^T)^{IJ} B^{Ja} w^a - i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^a B^{aI} w^I \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I (B - U^T B U)^{I\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta - i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I (B - U^T B U)^{Ia} w'^a\right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Thus we must identify the state in the left hand side of eq. (5.31) with $|k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle$ for all $w'^I \in \Lambda$. (For $w'^I \in \Lambda_U$, eq. (5.31) reduces to a trivial identity.) A geometrical meaning of the identification (5.31) is clear for $[U, B] = 0$: Since a point $\{x^I\}$ is identified with $\{x^I + \pi w'^I\}$ for all $w'^I \in \Lambda$ and since w^α is related to x^α through the constraint (4.4), w^I should be identified with $w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J$ for all $w'^I \in \Lambda$. For $[U, B] \neq 0$, a geometrical meaning of the identification (5.31) is, however, less clear.

To obtain the complete set of the zero modes, let us first normalize the state $|s_g^a, w^I = 0\rangle$ such that $\langle s_g^a, w^I = 0 | s_g^a, w^I = 0 \rangle = 1$. Then, it follows that

$$\langle k^a + s_g^a, w^I | k^a + s_g^a, w^I \rangle = 1 , \quad (5.33)$$

for all $k^a \in 2\Lambda^*|_{//}$ and $w^I \in \Lambda$. Since the states $|k^a + s_g^a + [U, B]^{aI} w'^I, w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J\rangle$ must be identified with $|k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle$ for all $w'^I \in \Lambda$, the complete set of the zero modes is given by

$$1 = \sum_{k^a \in 2\Lambda^*|_{//}} \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda/(1-U)\Lambda} |k^a + s_g^a, w^I\rangle \langle k^a + s_g^a, w^I| , \quad (5.34)$$

where $\Lambda/(1 - U)\Lambda$ denotes the set of the independent lattice points of Λ with the identification $w^I \sim w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J$ for all $w'^I \in \Lambda$. To obtain the inner product of the

zero mode eigenstates, we first note that the inner product $\langle k^a + s_g^a, w^I | \tilde{k}^a + s_g^a, \tilde{w} \rangle$ can be nonzero if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{k}^a &= k^a + [U, B]^{aI} w'^I, \\ \tilde{w}^I &= w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J,\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

for some $w'^I \in \Lambda$ or precisely for some $w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U$. It turns out that

$$\langle k^a + s_g^a, w^I | \tilde{k}^a + s_g^a, \tilde{w}^I \rangle = \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U} \rho(k, w; w') \delta_{\tilde{k}^a, k^a + [U, B]^{aI} w'^I} \delta_{\tilde{w}^I, w^I + (1 - U)^{IJ} w'^J}, \tag{5.36}$$

where $\rho(k, w; w')$ is defined in eq. (5.32). Since the summation of w'^I is taken over Λ/Λ_U , $\rho(k, w; w')$ should satisfy the following relation:

$$\rho(k, w; w' + \Delta w) = \rho(k, w; w'), \quad \text{for all } \Delta w^I \in \Lambda_U. \tag{5.37}$$

To verify the relation (5.37), we will use the relations (5.3) and (5.5). The complete set (5.34) and the inner product (5.36) of the zero mode eigenstates will be used to compute one loop partition functions in the next section.

Finally we discuss the degeneracy of the ground state in the g -sector, which plays an important role in the determination of the massless spectrum. To this end, it may be convenient to rewrite $|k^a + s_g^a, w^I \rangle$ in terms of (k_L^a, k_R^a) and the fixed “point” x^α as follows:

$$|k_L^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a, k_R^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a; x^\alpha \rangle \equiv |k^a + s_g^a, w^I \rangle, \tag{5.38}$$

where $k_L^a + s_g^a/2$ ($k_R^a + s_g^a/2$) corresponds to the eigenvalue of $\hat{p}_L^a$ ($\hat{p}_R^a$) and

$$\begin{aligned}k_L^a &= \frac{1}{2}(k^a - B^{aI} w^I) + \frac{1}{2}w^a, \\ k_R^a &= \frac{1}{2}(k^a - B^{aI} w^I) - \frac{1}{2}w^a, \\ x^\alpha &= \pi \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tilde{U}} \right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta.\end{aligned}\tag{5.39}$$

In this notation, the identification (5.31) can simply be written as

$$|k_L^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a, k_R^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a; x^\alpha + \pi w'^\alpha \rangle \sim |k_L^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a, k_R^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a; x^\alpha \rangle \quad \text{for all } w'^\alpha \in \Lambda|_\perp, \tag{5.40}$$

where

$$\Lambda|_\perp \equiv \{w'^\alpha \mid w'^I = (w'^a, w'^\alpha) \in \Lambda\}. \tag{5.41}$$

The allowed values of (k_L^a, k_R^a) are given by

$$(k_L^a, k_R^a) \in \Gamma^{D,D}|_{//} \equiv \{ (k_L^a, k_R^a) \mid (k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D} \}. \tag{5.42}$$

Then, the eigenvalues of the fixed “point” x^α are given by

$$x^\alpha = \pi \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tilde{U}} \right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta , \quad (5.43)$$

where

$$w^\alpha \in \frac{\Lambda|_\perp}{(1 - U)\Lambda|_\perp} \quad \text{with } (k_L^a - k_R^a, w^\alpha) \in \Lambda . \quad (5.44)$$

The number of the fixed “points” is equal to the number of the different eigenvalues of x^α with a fixed (k_L^a, k_R^a) , i.e. [19]

$$\left| \frac{\Lambda_\perp^{inv}}{(1 - U)\Lambda|_\perp} \right| , \quad (5.45)$$

where

$$\Lambda_\perp^{inv} = \left\{ w^\alpha \mid w^I = (w^a = 0, w^\alpha) \in \Lambda \right\} . \quad (5.46)$$

The formula (5.45) can also be represented by

$$\frac{\det(1 - \tilde{U})}{V_{\Gamma_U}} , \quad (5.47)$$

where V_{Γ_U} denotes the volume of the unit cell of the lattice Γ_U and

$$\Gamma_U = \left\{ (k_L^a, k_R^a) \mid (k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D} \text{ with } (k_L^\alpha, k_R^\alpha) = 0 \right\} . \quad (5.48)$$

It should be emphasized that the degeneracy of the ground state is *not*, in general, identical to the number of the fixed “points” (5.45) or (5.47) due to the momentum degeneracy. If $s_g^a \notin 2\Lambda^*|_{//}$ or equivalently if $(s_g^a/2, s_g^a/2) \notin \Gamma^{D,D}|_{//}$, $(k_L^a + s_g^a/2, k_R^a + s_g^a/2)$ cannot be equal to $(0, 0)$ and hence the momentum degeneracy may occur. Let n_M be the number of the different momentum eigenvalues with the minimum value of $(k_L^a + s_g^a/2)^2 + (k_R^a + s_g^a/2)^2$. Then, the degeneracy of the ground state in the g -sector is given by

$$n_M \times \frac{\det(1 - \tilde{U})}{V_{\Gamma_U}} . \quad (5.49)$$

6 One Loop Modular Invariance

In this section, we shall prove one loop modular invariance of partition functions. Let $Z(g, h; \tau)$ be the partition function of the g -sector twisted by h which is defined, in the operator formalism, by

$$Z(g, h; \tau) = \text{Tr} \left[h e^{i2\pi\tau(L_0 - D/24) - i2\pi\bar{\tau}(\bar{L}_0 - D/24)} \right]_{g\text{-sector}} , \quad (6.1)$$

where L_0 ($\bar{L}_0$) is the Virasoro zero mode operator of the left- (right-)mover. The trace in eq. (6.1) is taken over the Hilbert space of the g -sector. Then, the one loop partition function will be of the form,

$$Z(\tau) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g, h \in G \\ gh = hg}} Z(g, h; \tau) , \quad (6.2)$$

where $|G|$ is the order of the group G . In the above summation, only the elements g and h which commute with each other contribute to the partition function. This will be explained as follows: Let $\mathcal{H}_g$ be the Hilbert space of the g -sector. The total Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{total}$ consists of the direct sum of $\mathcal{H}_g$ for all $g \in G$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{total} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{H}_g . \quad (6.3)$$

The physical Hilbert space is not the total Hilbert space but the G -invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{total}$. Thus, the partition function will be given by

$$Z(\tau) = \sum_{g \in G} Z(\tau)_{g\text{-sector}} , \quad (6.4)$$

where

$$Z(\tau)_{g\text{-sector}} = \text{Tr}^{(phys)} \left[e^{i2\pi\tau(L_0 - D/24) - i2\pi\bar{\tau}(\bar{L}_0 - D/24)} \right]_{g\text{-sector}} . \quad (6.5)$$

Here, the trace should be taken over the physical Hilbert space of the g -sector, which will be given by

$$\mathcal{H}_g^{(phys)} = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_g , \quad (6.6)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the projection operator defined by

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} h . \quad (6.7)$$

By use of the projection operator $\mathcal{P}$, the trace formula (6.5) can be rewritten as

$$Z(\tau)_{g\text{-sector}} = \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{P} e^{i2\pi\tau(L_0 - D/24) - i2\pi\bar{\tau}(\bar{L}_0 - D/24)} \right]_{g\text{-sector}} , \quad (6.8)$$

where the trace is taken over the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_g$. The twist operator h maps $\mathcal{H}_g$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{hgh^{-1}}$.

$$h : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{hgh^{-1}} . \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore, in the trace formula (6.8),

$$\text{Tr} \left[h e^{i2\pi\tau(L_0 - D/24) - i2\pi\bar{\tau}(\bar{L}_0 - D/24)} \right]_{g\text{-sector}} , \quad (6.10)$$

will vanish identically unless h commutes with g .

The modular group is generated by two transformations $T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ and $S : \tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$. Thus, one loop modular invariance of the partition function (6.2) is satisfied provided

$$Z(g, h; \tau + 1) = Z(g, gh; \tau) , \quad (6.11)$$

$$Z(g, h; -1/\tau) = Z(h^{-1}, g; \tau) . \quad (6.12)$$

Let us calculate $Z(g, h; \tau)$ defined in eq. (6.1). The g and h are assumed to commute with each other and to be associated with the orthogonal matrices U^{IJ} and V^{IJ} , respectively. The condition $gh = hg$ is equivalent to the following two conditions:

$$UV = VU , \quad (6.13)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} w^I \left(UC_g U^T + UVC_h V^T U^T - VC_h V^T - VUC_g U^T V^T \right)^{IJ} w^J = 0 \pmod{2} , \quad (6.14)$$

for all $w^I \in \Lambda$. The second condition (6.14) comes from the consistency with eq. (4.46). The two conditions (6.13) and (6.14) also assure the consistency with the identity (5.12). Since U^{IJ} commutes with V^{IJ} , we can take a coordinate system for X^I in such a way that U^{IJ} and V^{IJ} are diagonal with respect to their invariant subspaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U^{IJ} &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{i_1 j_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{i_2 j_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_3^{i_3 j_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_4^{i_4 j_4} \end{pmatrix}^{IJ} , \\ V^{IJ} &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{i_1 j_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2^{i_2 j_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^{i_3 j_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v_4^{i_4 j_4} \end{pmatrix}^{IJ} , \\ X^I &= (X^{i_1}, X^{i_2}, X^{i_3}, X^{i_4}) , \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where $i_k, j_k = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $I, J = 1, 2, \dots, D$ ($D = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4$). The correspondence with the coordinate system (4.2) will be apparent. Let N and N' be the smallest positive integers such that $g^N = 1$ and $h^{N'} = 1$. Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U^N &= \mathbf{1} , \\ V^{N'} &= \mathbf{1} , \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1}{2} w^I (U^m C_g U^{-m})^{IJ} w^J &= 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \sum_{m=1}^{N'} \frac{1}{2} w^I (V^m C_h V^{-m})^{IJ} w^J &= 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \text{for all } w^I \in \Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

The conditions (6.17) come from the consistency with eq. (4.46). Since U^{IJ} commutes with V^{IJ} , the orthogonal matrices U^{IJ} and V^{IJ} can be diagonalized simultaneously by a single unitary matrix M^{IJ} :

$$\begin{aligned} M^\dagger U M &= U_{diag}, \\ M^\dagger V M &= V_{diag}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_{diag}^{IJ} &= \omega^{t_I} \delta^{IJ} \quad (\omega = e^{i2\pi/N}), \\ V_{diag}^{IJ} &= \omega'^{t'_I} \delta^{IJ} \quad (\omega' = e^{i2\pi/N'}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Here, we choose t_I and t'_I such that $0 \leq t_I \leq N-1$ and $0 \leq t'_I \leq N'-1$. Since we have taken the coordinate system (6.15), we may have

$$t_{i_1} = t_{i_2} = t'_{i_1} = t'_{i_3} = 0. \quad (6.20)$$

The Virasoro zero mode operators L_0 and $\bar{L}_0$ in the g -sector are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^d (\hat{p}_L^a)^2 + \sum_{I=1}^D \sum_{n_I \in \mathbf{Z} - t_I/N > 0} \gamma_{n_I}^I \gamma_{n_I}^I + \varepsilon_g, \\ \bar{L}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^d (\hat{p}_R^a)^2 + \sum_{I=1}^D \sum_{n_I \in \mathbf{Z} + t_I/N > 0} \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I + \varepsilon_g. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

The ε_g is the conformal weight of the ground state in the g -sector [1],

$$\varepsilon_g = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^D \frac{t_I}{N} \left(1 - \frac{t_I}{N}\right). \quad (6.22)$$

It is convenient to calculate the zero mode part and the oscillator part of $Z(g, h; \tau)$ separately.

$$Z(g, h; \tau) = Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} \times Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc}, \quad (6.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} &= \text{Tr} \left[h_{zero} e^{i\pi\tau(\hat{p}_L^a)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(\hat{p}_R^a)^2} \right]_{g\text{-sector}}^{zero}, \\ Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc} &= e^{-\pi\text{Im}\tau(4\varepsilon_g - D/6)} \text{Tr} \left[h_{osc} e^{i2\pi\tau \sum \gamma_{n_I}^{I\dagger} \gamma_{n_I}^I - i2\pi\bar{\tau} \sum \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^{I\dagger} \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I} \right]_{g\text{-sector}}^{osc}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Let us first calculate $Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc}$. The twist operator h acts on the oscillator part of $(X_L^I(z), X_R^I(\bar{z}))$ as

$$h : (X_L^I(z), X_R^I(\bar{z}))_{osc} \rightarrow (V^{IJ} X_L^J(z), V^{IJ} X_R^J(\bar{z}))_{osc} . \quad (6.25)$$

This means that the action of h on $\gamma_{n_I}^I$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \gamma_{n_I}^I &\rightarrow \omega^{t'_I} \gamma_{n_I}^I , \\ \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I &\rightarrow \omega^{t'_I} \bar{\gamma}_{n_I}^I . \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

The h acts trivially on the Fock vacuum of the oscillators. The calculation of $Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc}$ is straightforward and the result is

$$Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc} = \prod_{I=1}^D \prod_{n_I \in \mathbf{Z} - t_I/N > 0} (1 - \omega'^{-t'_I} e^{i2\pi\tau n_I})^{-1} \prod_{n_I \in \mathbf{Z} + t_I/N > 0} (1 - \omega'^{-t'_I} e^{-i2\pi\bar{\tau} n_I})^{-1} . \quad (6.27)$$

In terms of the theta functions, $Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc}$ can be written as

$$Z(g, h; \tau)_{osc} = \frac{\det(1 - v_2)}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2d_1}} \prod_{k=2,3,4} \prod_{i_k=1}^{d_k} \left| \frac{\eta(\tau)}{e^{i\pi\tau(t_{i_k}/N)^2} \vartheta_1(t_{i_k}\tau/N + t'_{i_k}/N'|\tau)} \right| , \quad (6.28)$$

where we have used the fact that the set of the eigenvalues $\{\omega^{t_I}, \omega^{t'_I}; I = 1, \dots, D\}$ is identical to $\{\omega^{-t_I}, \omega'^{-t'_I}; I = 1, \dots, D\}$. The functions $\eta(\tau)$ and $\vartheta_a(\nu|\tau)$ are the Dedekind η -function and the Jacobi theta function, which are defined in appendix B.

Let us next calculate the zero mode part of $Z(g, h; \tau)$. Using the complete set (5.34), $Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero}$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} &= \sum_{k^a \in 2\Lambda^*|_{//}} \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda/(1-U)\Lambda} e^{i\pi\tau(k_L^a + s_g^a/2)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^a + s_g^a/2)^2} \\ &\quad \times \langle k^a + s_g^a, w^I | h_{zero} | k^a + s_g^a, w^I \rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

where k_L^a and k_R^a are defined in eq. (5.39). To compute the inner product in eq. (6.29), we need to know the action of h on $|s_g^a, w^I = 0 \rangle$, which will be given by

$$h : |s_g^a, w^I = 0 \rangle \rightarrow |(\tilde{V}^T)^{ab} s_g^b, w^I = 0 \rangle . \quad (6.30)$$

One might expect that a nontrivial phase would appear in the right hand side of eq. (6.30). Modular invariance, however, requires no such phase, as we will see later. We would have problems if $(\tilde{V}^T)^{ab} s_g^b - s_g^a \notin 2\Lambda^*|_{//}$, because this means that the state

$|(\tilde{V}^T)^{ab}s_g^b, w^I = 0 >$ would not belong to $\mathcal{H}_g$. However, this is not the case. For $w^I \in \Lambda_U$, the condition (6.14) reduces to

$$w^a \left(s_g^a - \tilde{V}^{ab}s_g^b \right) = 0 \pmod{2} . \quad (6.31)$$

This guarantees that $h|s_g^a, w^I = 0 >$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_g$. Using eqs. (4.44), (5.27), (5.36), (6.29) and (6.30), we can now compute $Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero}$. The result is

$$Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} = \sum_{k^a \in 2\Lambda^*|_{//}} \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda/(1-U)\Lambda} \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U} \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a, w^I, w'^I) , \quad (6.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a, w^I, w'^I) \\ &= \delta_{(1-\tilde{V})^{ab}(k^b + s_g^b - B^{bI}w^I), 0} \delta_{(1-V)^{IJ}w^J, (1-U)^{IJ}w'^J} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ i\pi\tau(k_L^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a)^2 - i\frac{\pi}{2}w^I C_h^{IJ}w^J - i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I C_g^{IJ}w'^J \right. \\ & \left. + i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I (U^T B V)^{IJ}w^J + i\frac{\pi}{2}w'^I B^{IJ}w^J + i\pi w'^a (k^a + s_g^a - B^{aI}w^I) \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

Here, we have appropriately redefined the variables. We note that the function $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a + B^{aI}(1-U)^{IJ}\Delta w^J, w^I + (1-U)^{IJ}\Delta w^J, w'^I + (1-V)^{IJ}\Delta w'^J) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a, w^I, w'^I) , \quad \text{for all } \Delta w^I \in \Lambda , \\ & \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a, w^I, w'^I + \Delta w'^I) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(g, h; \tau; k^a, w^I, w'^I) , \quad \text{for all } \Delta w'^I \in \Lambda_U . \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

These symmetries guarantee the well-definedness of the summations of w^I and w'^I in eq. (6.32).

We now discuss the modular transformation property of $Z(g, h; \tau)$. Let us first consider the relation (6.11). Although it is not difficult to prove the relation (6.11) from the expressions (6.28), (6.32) and (6.33), we will give a more direct proof of eq. (6.11) here. The expression (6.1) and the relation (6.11) suggest that the twist operator g in the g -sector is given by

$$g = \exp \left\{ i2\pi(L_0 - \bar{L}_0) \right\} . \quad (6.35)$$

Indeed, we can verify that g defined in eq. (6.35) satisfies all desired relations, in particular,

$$gV(k_L, k_R; z)g^\dagger = \exp \left\{ -i\frac{\pi}{2}(k_L - k_R)^I (UC_g U^T)^{IJ} (k_L - k_R)^J \right\} V(U^T k_L, U^T k_R; z) . \quad (6.36)$$

To show eq. (6.36), we will use the identity (5.12). Thus, the above observation (6.35) proves the relation (6.11).

It should be emphasized that the left-right level matching condition [19, 20]

$$Z(g, h; \tau + N) = Z(g, h; \tau) , \quad (6.37)$$

or equivalently,

$$N \left((k_L^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a)^2 - (k_R^a + \frac{1}{2}s_g^a)^2 \right) = 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{for all } (k_L^a, k_R^a) \in \Gamma^{D,D}|_{//} , \quad (6.38)$$

would be destroyed in general if we put s_g^a to be equal to zero by hand. The existence of the shift vector s_g^a guarantees the condition (6.38) in general, as shown in ref. [3].

Let us next consider the relation (6.12). It is easy to show that

$$Z(g, h; -1/\tau)_{osc} = |\tau|^{-d_1} \frac{\det(1 - v_2)}{\det(1 - u_3)} Z(h^{-1}, g; \tau)_{osc} . \quad (6.39)$$

This relation implies that the zero mode part of $Z(g, h; \tau)$ should satisfy

$$Z(g, h; -1/\tau)_{zero} = |\tau|^{d_1} \frac{\det(1 - u_3)}{\det(1 - v_2)} Z(h^{-1}, g; \tau)_{zero} . \quad (6.40)$$

The expressions (6.32) and (6.33) may not be convenient to prove the relation (6.40).

The following expression of $Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero}$ will be suitable to prove the relation (6.40):

$$Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} = \frac{1}{\pi^{d_1} \det(1 - v_2)} \left(\frac{1}{2\tau_2} \right)^{d_1/2} \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda} \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(g, h; \tau; x^I, w^I, w'^I) , \quad (6.41)$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(g, h; \tau; x^I, w^I, w'^I) \\ &= \delta\left(x^{i_2} - \pi\left(\frac{1}{1 - v_2}\right)^{i_2 j_2} w'^{j_2}\right) \delta\left(x^\alpha - \pi\left(\frac{1}{1 - \tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta\right) \delta_{(1-V)^{IJ} w^J, (1-U)^{IJ} w'^J} \\ & \times \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{|\tau|^2}{\tau_2} (w^{i_1})^2 + 2\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} w^{i_1} w'^{i_1} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} (w'^{i_1})^2 \right] \right. \\ & \left. - i\frac{\pi}{2} w^I C_h^{IJ} w^J - i\frac{\pi}{2} w'^I C_g^{IJ} w'^J + i\frac{\pi}{2} w'^I (U^T B V)^{IJ} w^J + i\frac{\pi}{2} w'^I B^{IJ} w^J \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

The derivation of eqs. (6.41) and (6.42) will be given in appendix C. Then, it is not difficult to show from eqs. (6.41) and (6.42) that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g, h; -1/\tau)_{zero} &= \frac{1}{\pi^{d_1} \det(1 - v_2)} \left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{2\tau_2} \right)^{d_1/2} \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda} \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda} \\ & \times \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(h^{-1}, g; \tau; x^I, -(V^T)^{IJ} w'^J, w^I) , \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

where C_{h-1}^{IJ} is related to C_h^{IJ} as

$$\frac{1}{2}w^I C_{h-1}^{IJ} w^J = \frac{1}{2}w^I (V C_h V^T)^{IJ} w^J \pmod{2}, \quad (6.44)$$

for all $w^I \in \Lambda$. Replacing $-(V^T)^{IJ} w^J$ and w^I with w^I and w'^I , respectively, we obtain the relation (6.40).

7 An Example

In this section, we shall investigate a Z_2 orbifold model with a topologically nontrivial twist g in detail, which will give a good illustration of our formulation.

Let us introduce the root lattice Λ_R and the weight lattice Λ_W of $SU(3)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_R &= \left\{ q^I = \sum_{i=1}^2 n^i \alpha_i^I, \ n^i \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}, \\ \Lambda_W &= \left\{ q^I = \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i \mu^{iI}, \ m_i \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where α_i and μ^i ($i = 1, 2$) are a simple root and a fundamental weight satisfying $\alpha_i \cdot \mu^j = \delta_i^j$. We will take α_i and μ^i to be

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^I &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \right), & \alpha_2^I &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \right), \\ \mu^{1I} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \right), & \mu^{2I} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Note that Λ_W is the dual lattice of Λ_R . The center of mass momentum p^I and the winding number w^I are assumed to lie on the following lattices:

$$\begin{aligned} p^I &\in 2\Lambda_W, \\ w^I &\in \Lambda_R. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

The antisymmetric background field B^{IJ} is chosen as

$$B^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{IJ}. \quad (7.4)$$

Then, it turns out that the left- and right-moving momentum (p_L^I, p_R^I) lies on the following $(2+2)$ -dimensional Lorentzian even self-dual lattice $\Gamma^{2,2}$:

$$\Gamma^{2,2} = \{ (p_L^I, p_R^I) \mid p_L^I, p_R^I \in \Lambda_W, \ p_L^I - p_R^I \in \Lambda_R \}. \quad (7.5)$$

This is an example of the lattice (3.20). We consider the following Z_2 transformation:

$$g: X^I \rightarrow U^{IJ} X^J, \quad (7.6)$$

where

$$U^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{IJ}. \quad (7.7)$$

This is an automorphism of Λ_R and also $\Gamma^{2,2}$, as it should be. According to our prescription, we introduce a symmetric matrix C_g^{IJ} through the relation,

$$\alpha_i^I C_g^{IJ} \alpha_j^J = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i^I (B - U^T B U)^{IJ} \alpha_j^J \pmod{2}. \quad (7.8)$$

The right hand side is found to be

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i^I (B - U^T B U)^{IJ} \alpha_j^J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{ij}, \quad (7.9)$$

and hence C_g^{IJ} cannot be chosen to be zero. We may choose C_g^{IJ} as

$$\alpha_i^I C_g^{IJ} \alpha_j^J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{ij},$$

or

$$C_g^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}^{IJ}. \quad (7.10)$$

This choice turns out to be consistent with $g^2 = 1$.

The action of g on (x_L^I, x_R^I) is anomalous and the action of g on the vertex operator is given by

$$g: V(k_L, k_R; z) \rightarrow e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(k_L - k_R) \cdot U C_g U^T (k_L - k_R)} V(U^T k_L, U^T k_R; z). \quad (7.11)$$

Note that the nontrivial phase appears in the right hand side of eq. (7.11). To see the importance of this phase, let us consider the following operators of the left-movers:

$$\begin{aligned} H^I(z) &= i\partial_z X_L^I(z), \\ E^\alpha(z) &= V(\alpha, 0; z), \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

where α is a root vector of $SU(3)$. These operators form level one $SU(3)$ Kač-Moody algebra [13]. It turns out that the action of g on the above generators is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g: H^I(z) &\rightarrow U^{IJ} H^J(z), \\ E^{\pm\alpha_1}(z) &\rightarrow E^{\pm\alpha_2}(z), \\ E^{\pm\alpha_2}(z) &\rightarrow E^{\pm\alpha_1}(z), \\ E^{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}(z) &\rightarrow -E^{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

Thus, the Z_2 -invariant physical generators may be given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_3(z) &= 2H^1(z) , \\ J_{\pm}(z) &= \sqrt{2} \left(E^{\pm\alpha_1}(z) + E^{\pm\alpha_2}(z) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

These generators are found to form level four $SU(2)$ Kač-Moody algebra [21]. It should be emphasized that the generators $E^{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}(z)$ are not invariant under the action of g and hence they are removed from the physical generators although the root vector $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is invariant under the action of g .

The zero mode eigenstates of the g -twisted sector will be of the form,

$$| k^1 + s_g^1, w^I > , \quad (7.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k^1 &\in 2\Lambda_W|_{//} = \{ k^1 = \sqrt{2}m, m \in \mathbf{Z} \} , \\ w^I &\in \frac{\Lambda_R}{(1-U)\Lambda_R} , \\ s_g^1 &= 1/\sqrt{2} . \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

It may be convenient to rewrite $|k^1 + s_g^1, w^I >$ as

$$| k_L^1 + \frac{1}{2}s_g^1, k_R^1 + \frac{1}{2}s_g^1; x^2 > \equiv | k^1 + s_g^1, w^I > , \quad (7.17)$$

where

$$(k_L^1, k_R^1) \in \Gamma^{2,2}|_{//} = \{ (k_L^1, k_R^1) = (n/\sqrt{2}, n'/\sqrt{2}), n, n' \in \mathbf{Z} \} . \quad (7.18)$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of $\hat{p}^1$ (or $(\hat{p}_L^1, \hat{p}_R^1)$) are different from naively expected values by s_g^1 (or $(s_g^1/2, s_g^1/2)$). The number of the fixed points is given by

$$\frac{\det(1 - \tilde{U})}{V_{\Gamma_U}} = \frac{2}{2} = 1 , \quad (7.19)$$

where

$$\Gamma_U = (\Gamma^{2,2}|_{//})^* = \{ (k_L^1, k_R^1) = (\sqrt{2}n, \sqrt{2}n'), n, n' \in \mathbf{Z} \} . \quad (7.20)$$

It should be noted that the degeneracy of the ground state is different from the number of the fixed points. In fact, the ground state of the g -twisted sector is fourfold degenerate, i.e.

$$| \pm \frac{1}{2}s_g^1, \pm \frac{1}{2}s_g^1; x^2 = 0 > \quad \text{and} \quad | \pm \frac{1}{2}s_g^1, \mp \frac{1}{2}s_g^1; x^2 = \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} > . \quad (7.21)$$

We finally discuss one loop modular invariance of the partition function,

$$Z(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell, m=0}^1 Z(g^\ell, g^m; \tau) , \quad (7.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z(1, 1; \tau) &= \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^4} \sum_{(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{2,2}} e^{i\pi\tau(k_L^I)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^I)^2} , \\ Z(1, g; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_3(0|\tau)\vartheta_4(0|\tau)|}{|\eta(\tau)|^4} \sum_{(k_L^1, k_R^1) \in \Gamma_U} e^{i\pi(k_L^1 - k_R^1)s_g^1} e^{i\pi\tau(k_L^1)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^1)^2} , \\ Z(g, 1; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_3(0|\tau)\vartheta_2(0|\tau)|}{|\eta(\tau)|^4} \sum_{(k_L^1, k_R^1) \in \Gamma_{U^*}} e^{i\pi\tau(k_L^1 + s_g^1/2)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^1 + s_g^1/2)^2} , \\ Z(g, g; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_4(0|\tau)\vartheta_2(0|\tau)|}{|\eta(\tau)|^4} \sum_{(k_L^1, k_R^1) \in \Gamma_{U^*}} e^{i\pi(\tau+1)(k_L^1 + s_g^1/2)^2 - i\pi(\bar{\tau}+1)(k_R^1 + s_g^1/2)^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

The functions $\eta(\tau)$ and $\vartheta_a(\nu|\tau)$ ($a = 1, \dots, 4$) are the Dedekind η -function and the Jacobi theta function, which are defined in appendix B. It is easily verified that $Z(g^\ell, g^m; \tau)$ satisfies the following desired relations:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g^\ell, g^m; \tau + 1) &= Z(g^\ell, g^{m+\ell}; \tau) , \\ Z(g^\ell, g^m; -1/\tau) &= Z(g^{-m}, g^\ell; \tau) , \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

and hence the partition function (7.22) is modular invariant. It should be emphasized that the existence of the shift vector $(s_g^1/2, s_g^1/2)$ ensures modular invariance of the partition function: The left-right level matching condition (6.37), i.e.

$$Z(g, 1; \tau + 2) = Z(g, 1; \tau) , \quad (7.25)$$

is satisfied because

$$2\left((k_L^1 + \frac{1}{2}s_g^1)^2 - (k_R^1 + \frac{1}{2}s_g^1)^2\right) = 0 \pmod{2} , \quad (7.26)$$

for all $(k_L^1, k_R^1) \in \Gamma^{2,2}|_{//}$. If we put the shift vector $(s_g^1/2, s_g^1/2)$ to be zero by hand, the level matching condition would be destroyed.

It is interesting to note that in terms of the theta functions the partition function obtained above can be expressed as

$$Z(1, 1; \tau) = \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^4} \sum_{(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{2,2}} e^{i\pi\tau(k_L^I)^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau}(k_R^I)^2} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(1, g; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_3(0|\tau)\vartheta_4(0|\tau)|^2}{|\eta(\tau)|^4}, \\
Z(g, 1; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_3(0|\tau)\vartheta_2(0|\tau)|^2}{|\eta(\tau)|^4}, \\
Z(g, g; \tau) &= \frac{|\vartheta_4(0|\tau)\vartheta_2(0|\tau)|^2}{|\eta(\tau)|^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$

This partition function is exactly identical to that of another Z'_2 orbifold model whose Z'_2 transformation is defined by

$$Z'_2: \quad X^I \rightarrow -X^I, \tag{7.28}$$

instead of the Z_2 transformation (7.6). In this Z'_2 orbifold model, level one $SU(3)$ Kač-Moody algebra can be shown to “break” to level four $SU(2)$ Kač-Moody algebra. Thus, although the two orbifold models are defined by the different Z_2 transformations (7.6) and (7.28), they give the same spectrum and interaction [22].

8 Discussion

In this paper, we have discussed some of new aspects of string theory on orbifolds in the presence of the antisymmetric constant background field B^{IJ} mainly in an operator formalism point of view. The path integral formalism may be more suitable for discussing the topological nature of the B^{IJ} -term. In sect. 2, we have discussed the Euclidean path integral formalism for string theory on *tori* and have seen that the B^{IJ} -term can be regarded as a topological term like a Chern-Simons term or a Wess-Zumino term. In the Euclidean path integral formalism for string theory on orbifolds, the string coordinate $X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ will in general obey, instead of eq. (2.3), the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
X^I(\sigma^1 + \pi, \sigma^2) &= U^{IJ} X^J(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \pi w^I, \\
X^I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 + \pi) &= V^{IJ} X^J(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \pi w'^I, \quad \text{for some } w^I, w'^I \in \Lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

with $[U, V] = 0$. For topologically trivial twists, i.e. $[U, B] = 0 = [V, B]$, the Euclidean action is still given by eq. (2.2) and the functional integral (2.1) directly gives the expressions (6.41) and (6.42) [4]. However, for topologically nontrivial twists, a correct Euclidean action is not known yet and probably is different from the naively expected one, i.e. eq. (2.2). The expressions (6.41) and (6.42) strongly suggest that some

additional terms should appear in the action (2.2). To clarify the full topological nature of the B^{IJ} -term, it is necessary to find a correct Euclidean action for topologically nontrivial twists.

We have found Aharonov-Bohm like effects for twisted strings. This result would seem strange because we might naively expect no Aharonov-Bohm effect from eq. (1.3). This is true for untwisted strings but not for twisted strings. Eq. (1.3) does not imply no Aharonov-Bohm effect for twisted strings because $S_E[X]$ in eq. (1.3) is the Euclidean action for strings on tori. The construction of a Euclidean action for twisted strings will make clear geometrical meanings of the non-periodicity of wave functions for twisted strings.

We have discussed the cocycle property of the vertex operators and found that the zero modes of strings should obey the nontrivial quantization conditions. We have also found that a Z_2 phase appears in the transformation (4.46). The Z_2 phase (4.47) depends on the winding number $w^I = k_L^I - k_R^I$ and also the symmetric matrix C_h^{IJ} , which is defined through the relation (4.39). Since C_h^{IJ} is not defined uniquely in eq. (4.39), the twist operator h would be specified by $h = (V; C_h)$. It should be noted that the group which consists of the twist operators h 's is not necessarily equal to the group which consists of the orthogonal matrices V 's. In particular, $V^n = 1$ does not necessarily mean $h^n = 1$ but $h^{2n} = 1$. Let C'_h be another choice satisfying eq. (4.39). Then, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}w^I(C'_h - C_h)^{IJ}w^J = w^I v^I \pmod{2} \quad (w^I \in \Lambda), \quad (8.2)$$

for some constant vector v^I . This means that the difference between C'_h and C_h can be reinterpreted as the introduction of a Z_2 -shift v^I , i.e. $h' = (V; C'_h) = (V, v; C_h)$ and that the following two actions of h' on $\hat{x}^I$ and $\hat{Q}^I$ give the same orbifold model:

$$\begin{aligned} h' : \hat{x}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ}\hat{x}^J, \\ \hat{Q}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ}\hat{Q}^J - [V, B]^{IJ}\hat{x}^J + \pi V^{IJ}\left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C'_h\right)^{JK}\hat{w}^K, \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h' : \hat{x}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ}\hat{x}^J, \\ \hat{Q}^I &\rightarrow V^{IJ}\hat{Q}^J - [V, B]^{IJ}\hat{x}^J + \pi V^{IJ}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}(B - V^T B V) - C_h\right)^{JK}\hat{w}^K - v^J\right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

Acknowledgements

We should like to thank K. Ito, H. Nielsen, H. Ooguri, J. Petersen and F. Ruitz for useful discussions and also would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the Niels Bohr Institute where this work was done.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we derive eq. (4.16) with eq. (4.18). We first give a precise definition of the vertex operator $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ which describes the emission of an untwisted state with the momentum $(k_L^I, k_R^I) \in \Gamma^{D,D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(k_L, k_R; z) &\equiv f_{k_L, k_R}(z) : \exp\{ik_L^I X_L^I(z) + ik_R^I X_R^I(\bar{z})\} : \\ &\equiv f_{k_L, k_R}(z) \exp\{ik_L^I X_{L(-)}^I(z) + ik_R^I X_{R(-)}^I(\bar{z})\} \exp\{ik_L^I \hat{x}_L^I + ik_R^I \hat{x}_R^I\} \\ &\quad \times z^{k_L^a \hat{p}_L^a} \bar{z}^{k_R^a \hat{p}_R^a} \exp\{ik_L^I X_{L(+)}^I(z) + ik_R^I X_{R(+)}^I(\bar{z})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_L, k_R}(z) &= \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{(k_L^a)^2/2} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)^{(k_R^a)^2/2} \prod_{m=1}^{N-1} (1 + e^{-i\pi + i2\pi m/N})^{-\frac{1}{2}k_L^I (1-U^m)^{IJ} k_L^J} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=1}^{N-1} (1 + e^{i\pi - i2\pi m/N})^{-\frac{1}{2}k_R^I (1-U^m)^{IJ} k_R^J}, \\ X_{L(-)}^I(z) &= -i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} - t_J/N > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} M^{*IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^{J\dagger} z^{n_J}, \\ X_{L(+)}^I(z) &= i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} - t_J/N > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} M^{IJ} \gamma_{n_J}^J z^{-n_J}, \\ X_{R(-)}^I(\bar{z}) &= -i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} + t_J/N > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} M^{*IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^{J\dagger} \bar{z}^{n_J}, \\ X_{R(+)}^I(\bar{z}) &= i \sum_{n_J \in \mathbf{Z} + t_J/N > 0} \frac{1}{n_J} M^{IJ} \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^J \bar{z}^{-n_J}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In the following, we will use the commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_L^I, \hat{p}_L^a] &= i\delta^{Ia}, \\ [\hat{x}_R^I, \hat{p}_R^a] &= i\delta^{Ia}, \\ [\gamma_{m_I}^I, \gamma_{n_J}^{J\dagger}] &= m_I \delta^{IJ} \delta_{m_I, n_J}, \\ [\bar{\gamma}_{m_I}^I, \bar{\gamma}_{n_J}^{J\dagger}] &= m_I \delta^{IJ} \delta_{m_I, n_J}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Other commutation relations are equal to zero except for the commutation relations between $\hat{x}_L^I$ and $\hat{x}_R^I$, which will be derived in the text. The vertex operator satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} V(k_L, k_R; z)^\dagger &= \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)^{(k_L^I)^2} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{(k_R^I)^2} V(-k_L, -k_R; \frac{1}{\bar{z}}) , \\ V(k_L, k_R; e^{2\pi i} z) &= e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(k_L - k_R)^I (UC_g U^T)^{IJ} (k_L - k_R)^J} V(U^T k_L, U^T k_R; z) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we will use the identity (5.12) to derive the second relation of eqs. (A.4). The vertex operator has the conformal weight $((k_L^I)^2/2, (k_R^I)^2/2)$ and satisfies the following operator product expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} T(z')V(k_L, k_R; z) &= \frac{(k_L^I)^2}{2(z' - z)^2} V(k_L, k_R; z) + \frac{1}{z' - z} \partial_z V(k_L, k_R; z) + \dots , \\ \bar{T}(\bar{z}')V(k_L, k_R; z) &= \frac{(k_R^I)^2}{2(\bar{z}' - \bar{z})^2} V(k_L, k_R; z) + \frac{1}{\bar{z}' - \bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} V(k_L, k_R; z) + \dots . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

It is not difficult to show that the operator product of $V(k'_L, k'_R; z')$ and $V(k_L, k_R; z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &V(k'_L, k'_R; z')V(k_L, k_R; z) \\ &= f_{k'_L, k'_R}(z') f_{k_L, k_R}(z) \xi(k'_L, k'_R, z'; k_L, k_R, z) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ ik_L'^I X_{L(-)}^I(z') + ik_R'^I X_{R(-)}^I(\bar{z}') + ik_L^I X_{L(-)}^I(z) + ik_R^I X_{R(-)}^I(\bar{z}) \right\} \\ &\quad \times V_0(k'_L, k'_R) V_0(k_L, k_R) z'^{k_L'^a \hat{p}_L^a} \bar{z}'^{k_R'^a \hat{p}_R^a} z^{k_L^a \hat{p}_L^a} \bar{z}^{k_R^a \hat{p}_R^a} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ ik_L'^I X_{L(+)}^I(z') + ik_R'^I X_{R(+)}^I(\bar{z}') + ik_L^I X_{L(+)}^I(z) + ik_R^I X_{R(+)}^I(\bar{z}) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $V_0(k_L, k_R) = \exp\{ik_L^I \hat{x}_L^I + ik_R^I \hat{x}_R^I\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(k'_L, k'_R, z'; k_L, k_R, z) \\ &= (z' - z)^{k_L'^I k_L^I} (\bar{z}' - \bar{z})^{k_R'^I k_R^I} \prod_{m=1}^{N-1} \left(z'^{1/N} + e^{-i\pi + i2\pi m/N} z'^{1/N} \right)^{-k_L'^I (1-U^m)^{IJ} k_L^J} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=1}^{N-1} \left(\bar{z}'^{1/N} + e^{i\pi - i2\pi m/N} \bar{z}'^{1/N} \right)^{-k_R'^I (1-U^m)^{IJ} k_R^J} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The function $\xi(k'_L, k'_R, z'; k_L, k_R, z)$ satisfies the following relation:

$$\xi(k'_L, k'_R, z'; k_L, k_R; z) = \eta^{-1} \xi(k_L, k_R, z; k'_L, k'_R; z') , \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where the phase η is defined in eq. (4.18). As discussed in sect. 4, the operator product $V(k'_L, k'_R; z')V(k_L, k_R; z)$ for $|z'| > |z|$ has to be analytically continued to the region

$|z| > |z'|$ and to be identical to $V(k_L, k_R; z)V(k'_L, k'_R; z')$. This requirement leads to the relation (4.16) with the phase (4.18).

Appendix B

In this appendix, we present the definition of the theta functions and various useful formulas which may be used in the text.

We first introduce the theta function

$$\vartheta_{ab}(\nu|\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ i\pi(n+a)^2\tau + i2\pi(n+a)(\nu+b) \right\} . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The four Jacobi theta functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) &= \vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}(\nu|\tau), \\ \vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) &= \vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}0}(\nu|\tau), \\ \vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) &= \vartheta_{00}(\nu|\tau), \\ \vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) &= \vartheta_{0\frac{1}{2}}(\nu|\tau) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(\nu+1|\tau) &= -\vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_2(\nu+1|\tau) &= -\vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_3(\nu+1|\tau) &= \vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_4(\nu+1|\tau) &= \vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(\nu+\tau|\tau) &= -e^{-i\pi(\tau+2\nu)}\vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_2(\nu+\tau|\tau) &= e^{-i\pi(\tau+2\nu)}\vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_3(\nu+\tau|\tau) &= e^{-i\pi(\tau+2\nu)}\vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_4(\nu+\tau|\tau) &= -e^{-i\pi(\tau+2\nu)}\vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(\nu|\tau+1) &= e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_2(\nu|\tau+1) &= e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_3(\nu|\tau+1) &= \vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) , \\ \vartheta_4(\nu|\tau+1) &= \vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_1(\nu/\tau| - 1/\tau) &= -i(-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{i\pi\nu^2/\tau} \vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) , \\
\vartheta_2(\nu/\tau| - 1/\tau) &= (-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{i\pi\nu^2/\tau} \vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) , \\
\vartheta_3(\nu/\tau| - 1/\tau) &= (-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{i\pi\nu^2/\tau} \vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) , \\
\vartheta_4(\nu/\tau| - 1/\tau) &= (-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{i\pi\nu^2/\tau} \vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

It is known that the Jacobi theta functions can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_1(\nu|\tau) &= -2q^{1/4} f(q) \sin \pi\nu \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2q^{2n} \cos 2\pi\nu + q^{4n}) , \\
\vartheta_2(\nu|\tau) &= 2q^{1/4} f(q) \cos \pi\nu \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2q^{2n} \cos 2\pi\nu + q^{4n}) , \\
\vartheta_3(\nu|\tau) &= f(q) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2q^{2n-1} \cos 2\pi\nu + q^{4n-2}) , \\
\vartheta_4(\nu|\tau) &= f(q) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2q^{2n-1} \cos 2\pi\nu + q^{4n-2}) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= e^{i\pi\tau} , \\
f(q) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

Another important function is the Dedekind η -function,

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n}) , \tag{B.9}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\tau + 1) &= e^{i\frac{\pi}{12}} \eta(\tau) , \\
\eta(-1/\tau) &= (-i\tau)^{1/2} \eta(\tau) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

We finally give the Poisson resummation formula, which will play a key role in the modular transformation $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$. Let $\Gamma^{d,\bar{d}}$ be a $(d + \bar{d})$ -dimensional Lorentzian lattice and $\Gamma^{d,\bar{d}*}$ be its dual lattice. Then, the formula is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k_L, k_R) \in \Gamma^{d,\bar{d}}} e^{-i(\pi/\tau)(k_L + v_L)^2 + i(\pi/\bar{\tau})(k_R + v_R)^2} \\
&= \frac{(-i\tau)^{d/2} (i\bar{\tau})^{\bar{d}/2}}{V_{\Gamma^{d,\bar{d}}}} \sum_{(q_L, q_R) \in \Gamma^{d,\bar{d}*}} e^{i\pi\tau q_L^2 - i\pi\bar{\tau} q_R^2 + i2\pi(v_L \cdot q_L - v_R \cdot q_R)} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

where (v_L, v_R) is an arbitrary $(d + \bar{d})$ -dimensional constant vector and $V_{\Gamma^{d,\bar{d}}}$ is the volume of the unit cell of the lattice $\Gamma^{d,\bar{d}}$.

Appendix C

In this appendix, we derive eqs. (6.41) and (6.42) from eqs. (6.32) and (6.33). To this end, let us first write eq. (6.32) as

$$Z(g, h; \tau) = \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda / (1-U)\Lambda} F(w^I) . \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Since $F(w^I)$ satisfies

$$F(w^I + (1-U)^{IJ} L^J) = F(w^I) \quad \text{for all } L^I \in \Lambda , \quad (\text{C.2})$$

we can rewrite eq. (C.1) as

$$Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} = \frac{1}{V_{\pi\Lambda_U}} \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda} \delta\left(x^\alpha - \pi\left(\frac{1}{1-\tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta\right) F(w^I) , \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where $V_{\pi\Lambda_U}$ denotes the volume of the unit cell of the lattice $\pi\Lambda_U$ and

$$\Lambda_U = \{ w^a \mid w^I = (w^a, w^\alpha = 0) \in \Lambda \} . \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The integration of x^I in eq. (C.3) runs over the volume of the unit cell of the lattice $\pi\Lambda$, namely, over the D -dimensional torus T^D . Using the following identity:

$$\int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \delta_{(1-\tilde{V})^{ab}(k^b + s_g^b - B^{bI} w^I), 0} f(x^\alpha) = \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I e^{-i(k^a + s_g^a - B^{aI} w^I)(1-\tilde{V})^{ab} x^b} f(x^\alpha) , \quad (\text{C.5})$$

we can further rewrite $Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{d_2}}{V_{\pi\Lambda_U} \det(1 - v_2)} \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy^{i_2} \sum_{k^a \in 2\Lambda^* \parallel} \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda} \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U} \delta_{(1-V)^{IJ} w^J, (1-U)^{IJ} w'^J} \\ & \times \delta\left(\pi\left(\frac{1}{1-v_2^T}\right)^{i_2 j_2} y^{j_2} + \pi(k^{i_2} + s_g^{i_2} - B^{i_2 I} w^I)\right) \delta\left(x^\alpha - \pi\left(\frac{1}{1-\tilde{U}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} w^\beta\right) \\ & \times \exp\left\{i\pi\tau_1 w^{i_1}(k^{i_1} + s_g^{i_1} - B^{i_1 I} w^I) - \frac{\pi}{2}\tau_2\left((k^{i_1} + s_g^{i_1} - B^{i_1 I} w^I)^2 + (w^{i_1})^2\right) \right. \\ & \quad + i y^{i_2} x^{i_2} - i \frac{\pi}{2} w^I C_h^{IJ} w^J - i \frac{\pi}{2} w'^I C_g^{IJ} w'^J + i \frac{\pi}{2} w'^I (U^T B V)^{IJ} w^J + i \frac{\pi}{2} w'^I B^{IJ} w^J \\ & \quad \left. + i \pi w'^{i_1}(k^{i_1} + s_g^{i_1} - B^{i_1 I} w^I) - i \pi w'^{i_2} \left(\frac{1}{1-v_2^T}\right)^{i_2 j_2} y^{j_2}\right\} , \quad (\text{C.6}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$ and we have used the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i(k^a + s_g^a - B^{aI} w^I)(1-\tilde{V})^{ab} x^b} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{d_2}}{\det(1 - v_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy^{i_2} e^{i y^{i_2} x^{i_2}} \delta\left(\pi\left(\frac{1}{1-v_2^T}\right)^{i_2 j_2} y^{j_2} + \pi(k^{i_2} + s_g^{i_2} - B^{i_2 I} w^I)\right). \quad (\text{C.7}) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using the formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\Delta w^a = (\Delta w^{i_1}, \Delta w^{i_2}) \in \Gamma} \exp \left\{ -\lambda \sum_{i_1=1}^{d_1} (\Delta w^{i_1} + s^{i_1})^2 + i \sum_{i_2=1}^{d_2} t^{i_2} \Delta w^{i_2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{(2\pi)^{d_2}}{V_\Gamma} \left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda} \right)^{d_1/2} \sum_{k^a = (k^{i_1}, k^{i_2}) \in 2\Gamma^*} \delta(t^{i_2} - \pi k^{i_2}) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2}{4\lambda} \sum_{i_1=1}^{d_1} (k^{i_1})^2 + i\pi \sum_{i_1=1}^{d_1} k^{i_1} s^{i_1} \right\}, \tag{C.8}
\end{aligned}$$

and integrating over the variables y^{i_2} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(g, h; \tau)_{zero} &= \frac{1}{\pi^{d_1} \det(1 - v_2)} \left(\frac{1}{2\tau_2} \right)^{d_1/2} \int_{\pi\Lambda} dx^I \sum_{w^I \in \Lambda} \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U} \sum_{\Delta w^I \in \Lambda_U} \\
&\quad \times \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(g, h; \tau; x^I, w^I, w'^I + \Delta w^I), \tag{C.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(\Lambda^*|_{//})^* = \Lambda_U$. Replacing

$$\begin{aligned}
w'^I + \Delta w^I &\rightarrow w'^I, \\
\sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda/\Lambda_U} \sum_{\Delta w^I \in \Lambda_U} &\rightarrow \sum_{w'^I \in \Lambda}, \tag{C.10}
\end{aligned}$$

we finally obtain the expressions (6.41) and (6.42).

References

- [1] L. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985) 678; **B274** (1986) 285.
- [2] A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, F. Quevedo and A. Sierra, *Nucl. Phys.* **B331** (1990) 421.
J.A. Casas and C. Muñoz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990) 189.
Y. Katsuki, Y. Kawamura, T. Kobayashi, N. Ohtsubo, Y. Ono and K. Tanioka, *Nucl. Phys.* **B341** (1990) 611.
A. Fujitsu, T. Kitazoe, M. Tabuse and H. Nishimura, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990) 1529.
- [3] M. Sakamoto and M. Tabuse, *The General Class of String Theories on Orbifolds*, Kobe preprint, KOBE-92-02 (1992);
T. Horiguchi, M. Sakamoto and M. Tabuse, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **110** (1992).
- [4] K. Inoue, S. Nima and H. Takano, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **80** (1988) 881.
- [5] J. Erler, D. Jungnickel, J. Lauer and J. Mas, *Ann. Phys.* **217** (1992) 318.
- [6] M. Sakamoto, *Peculiarity of String Theory on Orbifolds in the Presence of an Antisymmetric Background Field*, talk at the Int. Workshop on “String Theory, Quantum Gravity and the Unification of the Fundamental Interactions”, Rome, 1992, NBI preprint, NBI-HE-92-71.
- [7] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, *Phys. Rev.* **115** (1959) 485.
- [8] A.M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B103** (1981) 207;
J. Polchinski, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 37.
- [9] K.S. Narain, M.H. Sarmadi and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B279** (1987) 369.
- [10] K.S. Narain, *Phys. Lett.* **B169** (1986) 41.
- [11] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B320** (1989) 669.
- [12] F. Englert and A. Neveu, *Phys. Lett.* **B163** (1985) 349.

- [13] I. Frenkel and V. Kač, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980) 23;
G. Segal, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80** (1981) 301;
- [14] J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Rep.* **8C** (1973) 269; **89** (1982) 223;
J. Scherk, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47** (1975) 123.
- [15] V. Kač and D. Peterson, in *Anomalies, geometry and topology*, ed. A. White (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985);
J. Lepowsky, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **82** (1985) 8295.
- [16] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A1** (1986) 303.
- [17] K. Itoh, M. Kato, H. Kunitomo and M. Sakamoto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306** (1988) 362.
- [18] M. Sakamoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B231** (1989) 258.
- [19] K.S. Narain, M.H. Sarmadi and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B288** (1987) 551; **B356** (1991) 163.
- [20] C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B273** (1986) 592.
- [21] M. Sakamoto and M. Tabuse, *Phys. Lett.* **B260** (1991) 70.
- [22] M. Sakamoto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **84** (1990) 351.